I. Call to Order – Andrew Musca 11:40 am

II. Overview of CPI Adjustments to Campus Based Fees – Luci Schmidl
   - Luci provided and explained a handout with proposed CPI increase for FY 2015-16

III. Committee Discussion on CPI
   - CPI can only be applied to eligible expenses
   - Next year there will be increases to salaries and benefits, and utilities all increased. CPI supports those increased costs.
   - If there is no CPI increase and there are no other funds coming in, we will need to cut.
   - Question (AM): When was the last CPI increase?
     - 2013-14 – no on SASI
     - For 2014-15, no on FACE, LEAAP and SASI; yes on CEI
     - 2012-13, no on FACE & LEAAP; yes on CEI & SASI
     - 2011-12, FACE & LEAAP, CEI & SASI – all yes
   - JC – Until last year SASI hadn’t received a no for over 10 years
     - Question (RR): Why was there a no on SASI last year?
     - JC – It was viewed, by CURB, at least, as a fee increase rather than an adjustment
     - AB – SSFAAC had the same feeling. Tuition is getting high and there was a reluctance to add any costs.
     - NK – CURB vote was close and had to be decided by the chair
     - Question (VD): Will there be service cuts even if there is a yes on CPI?
     - Luci – likely, since there are extra expenses (e.g., for custodial costs) and since there was no adjustment last year.
     - JC – If there is a no vote one year, there is no way to recoup. Without a COLA, costs have to get covered by departments somehow.
   - JC – the Student Health fee is the only one with and automatic escalator in it.
   - Luci – Reserves and carry forwards are needed
     - Costs for new Student Health Center and CoHo are now interest only, but in 2020 interest-plus-principal will kick in. We are reserving now for that eventuality since a significant increase will happen in 2020.
   - Question (RR): What about the Community Center?
     - Luci – This year was the first year making principal and interest payments/
   - Question (AB): Is it traditional to negotiate interest-only first?
     - JC – Yes, the university uses bonding and UCOP blends these things. It’s very complex.
     - The rule is usually that you don’t pay the fee until the building is activated, but in this process, sometimes a building is activated before the bonding is settled.
   - AB – opened up for general discussion
   - AM – At first there was concern that all we’ve really seen info for this year are CRU and Athletics, but most of the increases are there, except Return to Aid.
   - AB – Because of this year’s other concerns, we haven’t had the in-depth review of all of this, but we still represent the most informed students for this.
   - NK – We’re still feeling the tension of last year – feels like we’re between a rock and a hard place, with tuition increases still hard
   - IP – agrees, but has a better grasp than last year and feels more comfortable voting
• AB – feels the same. Prefers to reduce or freeze fees. Doesn’t feel it hurts the administration, but leads to cuts
• Question (AJ): Have the students said they need more resources for what we’re increasing?
  o What was the cost analysis?
  o If tuition and other fees both go up, they’re lumped together in student perceptions.
  o JC – This year the Division just created an assessments center to provide documentation on participation, etc. Aimed toward increasing retention. The intention is to do what Ade suggested.
• JC – recognize that these are Cost of Living increases; not adding anything. Reserves can’t pay for this annually.
• JC – another question is, can we increase income? BUT, most of our income comes from students.
• Annually, Student Affairs covers costs up to 80% to cover Student Services Fees and General Fund things. Campus Based Fees doesn’t have that. When there’s a moratorium on tuition increases, but there’s still an increase in SSFs and Mental Health, CBFs don’t get that.
• Question (PC): Are there groups that don’t get fees?
  o JC – only Housing and the Bookstore
• Question (RR): What if some of these don’t pass? What is the saving mechanism?
  o JC – units have the responsibility to reduce services and expenses or increase income
  o Everyone has a mechanism for return to reserves
  o A portion goes to return to aid
• Question (RR): Is there any issue to adjustments to athletic scholarships?
  o JC – We just need to make it work
  o MB – Reserves were set up because there wasn’t a full funding for scholarships
• Approved CPI increases don’t match tuition increases, which leads to a growing deficit, especially with CEI not always approved.
• Question (AJ): Are there any other fees outside our control going up for next year?
  o JC – Tuition for in-state is not going up
  o GSA and Health will be asking for increases
  o SSF will go up 5% per the President and Governor’s agreement ($972 to $1,002 - ~$30).
    The additional will be reallocated but we don’t know how yet
  o Any increase has a Return to Aid component (30% goes to Financial Aid)
• Question: Mental Health funding – is it for backfill or additional services?
  o JC – that is for Michelle Famula to answer. JC does know they plan to switch their contract positions to permanent staff positions.
• CBF rates could go up within certain environments, e.g. the fee to play on intramural teams could be increased, but those fees are local.

IV. Roll Call and Ballot Distribution – Chairs
• The committee has 16 voting members
• 8 constitutes a quorum, so quorum was reached
  • Andrew Musca
  • Adam Bolt
  • Vidur Dewan
  • Bhawandheep Cheema
  • Nicole Kenley
  • Ade Jackson
  • Ishmael Pluton
  • Paul Cody
• Determined that status needn’t be noted on ballot – otherwise it would not be anonymous
• It will be obvious who is voting on the Law ballot since Adam is the only one!
• JC – Adding something to the comments section is encouraged. It will be important to the functioning of the committee for next year.
• Question (PC): math for the percentages on the spreadsheet don’t make sense
  • JB – clarified that it is only a % of operating expenses
• Question (AJ): Re: Return to Aid?
  • JC – 30% goes to Financial Aid. Now it is only CEI and FACE (not SASI)
  • This is now mandatory, so any NEW referendum would have to include it.
  • UC Davis started that, and now all UCs have followed

V. Committee Completes Ballots

VI. Vote Count and Results – Chairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Yes Votes</th>
<th>No Votes</th>
<th>Abstentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPI – SASI</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI – CEI</td>
<td>Not Passed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI – FACE</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAAP</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Final Remarks – John Campbell

VIII. Meeting adjourned