COSAF Meeting, May 19, 2017

CEI Voting Results and Summary of Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduates: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Student: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Student: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VOTE TO APPLY CPI ADJUSTMENT TO THE CEI FEE 2017-18**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intercollegiate Athletics</th>
<th>VOTING MEMBERS</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduates</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Club and Intramurals</td>
<td>Undergraduates &amp; Graduate</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Community Center</td>
<td>Undergraduates</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee House</td>
<td>Undergraduates</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Health Center</td>
<td>Undergraduates, Graduate &amp; Law</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of Comments**

**Intercollegiate Athletics**

- Extremely conflicted on this. I see a separation and disconnect between Student Athletics and the student body. But I am saying yes just because it is an essential part of the Davis.
- We have to- we’ve made a commitment to pay for D1 and we can’t go back. So, seeing that we don’t have an option to go back, I recommend COSAF/ASUCD/other campus bodies work with ICA to make athletics more palatable to the general student body.
- I am not satisfied with the transparency about program expenditures. We didn’t receive enough information about recruitment and demographics for me to vote yes next year. I only vote yes to support my fellow aggies directly.
- Uses of funds seem to be reasonable.
- Too large of a percentage of the money is being given to too few students. Many of the other organizations serve UCD Students for greater access.
- Presentation was poor. Vision for CPI was not clear and how money has been and will be used was not inclusive to the entire student body.
- Incredibly poor discussion of how our fees which goes to student athletes affect the student body at large. Failed to connect to a prior ICA presentation that refocused UC Davis athletics vision towards “student athlete outcomes.” Argument made in presentation implies that scholarship money sent over MBB team to NCAA, which is not entirely the case.
• It would be nice to get demographics of students that receive these scholarship and the requirement to receive aid. Without information of student backgrounds and how funds are being allocated to students, I don’t feel comfortable approving a CPI adjustment. Attaining an athletic scholarship should be as equally rigorous as receiving non-athletic scholarships for all students. Concern on how funds given to athletics is used.
• Supporting students in any way directly is important.

Sport Clubs and Intramurals
• Great and necessary benefit for the students to keep their mind and body healthy while encouraging teamwork.
• Wonderful service provided to students and given the responsible use of reserves (turf replacement) as well as the rising cost of student salaries, a CPI increase is well deserved.
• These are fantastic programs and opportunities. I’d like to see a CPI increase so that Campus Rec can continue to hire students without reducing programs or operational expenses.
• They have consistently shown good planning and provide valuable services to all students.
• Great program: Made good use of their money and clearly provide a service that students use.
• I like these funds and see these more as a direct benefit to students.
• I believe many students benefit from IM sports and having this bring a sense of community to many students. It is important to continue funding programs that encourage student involvement and connections.
• Yes! Work-life balance.

Student Community Center
• SCC is doing a great job of serving the wider student population and we have to keep in mind that this building will need more maintenance soon.
• Yes! Invaluable service available to all students and fact that transition to internal custodial services was out of the control of SCC-a CPI should be applied.
• The SCC is a valuable campus resource and I’d like for them to receive a CPI increase so that they can maintain their services without having to cut services or hours.
• SCC has shown good use of funds. Biggest hit seems to be custodial services, which is out of SCC’s control.
• Serves a large number of students and continues to open its doors to others cultures and acceptance.
• The SCC provides critical resources for students in marginalized communities that need more funding.
• Many organizations use the SCC and we need to continue providing adequate funding for the many uses of our center.
• This center truly brings a sense of community to many underserved and marginalized population. Continued funding most definitely benefits all UC Davis communities.

Coffee House
• I am supportive of the mission that COHO has, including delivery of pizza on campus.
• This unit is very impacted and needs to build up reserves to keep up – or attempt to keep up-with the growing population.
• The COHO is used extensively, and as someone who uses the COHO every day they should receive student support that reflects their usage levels.
• COHO has shown themselves to be responsible with finances and future projects.
• The goal of the COHO is to provide food to students at a reduced price and if it doesn’t get a CPI adjustment, it will just come out of the student pocket.
• The amount of renovations and use that the coffee house sustains on a daily basis is staggering.
• Follow-up that they are making the changes they say they want to make or say they want make actually occur. Many student, faculty, and staff use COHO services so funding would benefit all the UC Davis community.

Student Health Center

• Organization is well run and cannot see flaws on how the group is running.
• Of course. A service of this much importance to students needs to be funded. And the move from outside custodial to internal was outside control of SHC.
• The Student Health facilities and services are invaluable and this is a place/program that should be funded.
• SHC provides crucial services to those students who need or may need it. Their presentation was good.
• Services need to be improved and maintain funding.
• Many Students utilize and benefit from the services the health center provides.
• Need for custodial conversion to in-house services.
• Due to increases in maintenance costs, should approve CPI. Reserves being set aside for particular purpose which shows why reserves may be increasing.

Unitrans:

• How does Unitrans operate without a CPI adjustment?
• Not only does Unitrans serve the community. Many students would have no way to get to the university without the Unitrans buses.
• Hoping task force can find more money because current system is not sustainable.

Other:

• Can we have a discussion about funding priorities and how to leverage our united funds to serve students and academic success?