

# COUNCIL ON STUDENT AFFAIRS AND FEES

---

## Annual Report 2020-21

### Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	Executive Summary Orientation Meeting
<b>2 – 5</b>	Campus Based Fee: FACE/LEEAP
<b>6 – 11</b>	Campus Based Fee: CEI
<b>11 – 12</b>	Campus Based Fee: Aggie Fee
<b>13 – 16</b>	Campus Based Fee: SASI
<b>16 – 17</b>	Campus Based Fee: TGIF Campus Based Fee: GSA
<b>18 – 22</b>	Student Services Fee
<b>22 – 23</b>	Student Programming Fund
<b>23</b>	Student Development Fund
<b>24 – 25</b>	Additional Oversight & Recommendations from the Council
<b>26</b>	Ratification to Approve Council CPI Recommendations Recruitment of New Members 2021-22 Conclusion

# Council on Student Affairs and Fees

ANNUAL REPORT

2020-2021

## Executive Summary

This year has been a highly productive one for the Council on Student Affairs and Fees (COSAF).

Following are some highlights of the Council's major achievements:

- Listened to **42 presentations** from campus departments and student groups, which resulted in **250+ recommendations/comments** provided to VC Ratliff and VC Reguerín and which are published in this Annual Report
- Reviewed data on **\$89,652,807** in Campus-Based and Student Services Fees, and recommended CPI adjustments for **CEI, FACE, LEEAP** and the **GSA Fee**
- Approved and disbursed **\$101,644** for one-time funding of **student programs** that will take place in 2021-22
- Reviewed **26+** applications for **Student Development Funds** and awarded **\$11,040** to qualified applicants
- Co-Chairs joined their peers on the **UC Council on Student Fees** and attended **three** virtual meetings and conference calls throughout the year

In addition to the 14 regular Council meetings and weekly Co-Chair meetings, the implementation of subcommittee meetings allowed for in-depth review in three areas:

- Student Services Fee
- Student Programming Funds
- Student Development Funds

The subcommittees met several times during the year, outside of regular COSAF meetings, and their work is documented throughout the pages in this Annual Report.

During Fall and Winter quarters, the Council reviewed all seven Campus Based Fees and provided their CPI recommendations to the VC of Student Affairs and VC of Finance, Operations and Administration. During Winter quarter, the Council also provided feedback and endorsement of a new *TGIF Renewal Referendum (The Green Initiative Fund)* ballot language. Spring quarter was comprised of presentations from Student Services Fee recipients and Student Programming Fund applicants.

*This report is structured in sections by specific Council oversight that occurred over the year*

## Orientation Meeting

Date: 9/29/20

### SUMMARY:

Initial meeting of the year for all council members. In addition to an overview of Student Affairs from VC Reguerín and the Campus Budget from VC Ratliff, the council received a full review of: COSAF Bylaws, Understanding Key Components of COSAF's Oversight, Council Participation and Expectations, Student Fees Reviewed by COSAF, Funds Administered by COSAF, New Referenda Process and COSAF Subcommittees & External Committees. In addition to attending regular COSAF meetings, each voting member was asked to sit on one of three subcommittees.

## Campus Based Fee: FACE/LEEAP

**COSAF Oversight:** Review presentations from the departments receiving funding from the fee. Vote to recommend/not recommend a CPI adjustment to the fee in 2021-22.

**Fee Name:** FACE/LEEAP – Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee  
Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program

**Fee Summary:** Passed in 1999 to provide funding for: a new Activities & Recreation Hall (ARC), recreation equipment and improvements to the Recreation Hall (Pavilion), a new aquatics complex (Schaal Aquatics Center), partially funding the cost of a new multi-use stadium (UC Davis Health Stadium), enhancements for the Equestrian Center, a Recruitment & Retention Center and a return to aid component. CPI adjustments only apply to operating expenses.

	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22 with 1.7% (est.) CPI Adjustment</u>
<b>Fee Amount:</b> FACE Annual Fee, Undergraduates:	\$ 450.21	\$ 456.41
FACE Annual Fee, Grad/Professionals:	\$ 450.21	\$ 456.41
LEEAP Annual Fee, Law Students:	\$ 425.09	\$ 431.17

Department Presentations	Name of Presenter	Date of Presentation
Business & Institutional Analysis	Jessica Lewis, Principal Budget Analyst	10/9/20
Intercollegiate Athletics	Kevin Blue, Athletics Director Anissa Nachman, Athletics Associate Director	10/23/20
Recruitment and Retention Center	Krissy Ocampo, Operations Director SRRC	10/23/20
Campus Recreation	Deb Johnson, Campus Recreation Director	10/9/20

**Voting took place on 11/06/20. RECOMMENDATION RESULTS:**

*The Council voted to recommend a CPI adjustment to all fee recipients*

### Quorum Met

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Voting on issues associated with CPI for fees must have a quorum, defined as half the voting membership, rounded up to the nearest number.*

Total Voting Members: **19**

In Attendance: **15**

Quorum was met at **79%** attendance

Action Item #2021-004

### FACE CPI Vote

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Votes to recommend CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by 66%, rounded to the nearest number.*

**17** voting members present. (15 in attendance + 2 via absentee) **12** yes votes required to pass.

	<u>Intercollegiate Athletics</u>	<u>Campus Recreation</u>	<u>Student Recruitment &amp; Retention Ctr.</u>
YES	16	18	17*
NO	2	0	0

Action Item #2021-005

### LEEAP CPI Vote

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Only the LSA (Law Students Association) Representative will vote on CPI adjustments to the remaining portions of the LEEAP fee: Law School Recruitment and Retention.*

**1** voting member present. **1** yes vote required to pass.

	<u>Law School Recruitment &amp; Retention</u>
YES	1
NO	0

*\*Per COSAF Bylaws, the LSA representative does not vote on this portion of FACE*

## Campus Based Fee: FACE/LEEAP *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Recruitment and Retention Center

I still want to know how their programs transition to a fully virtual but they are making good strides.

Reaching out to all members of the community is an important function of our university.

The center has continued to work and provide UC Davis students with the kind of programming that will help them thrive and succeed at UC Davis.

The SRRC does very important work lifting marginalized communities up. This CPI adjustment is necessary so this department can continue their work that ultimately benefits our whole community.

A CPI adjustment would guarantee FACE/LEEAP fees to operate at a standard rate that would coincide with minimum wage. Especially during a pandemic, it is ultimately necessary to continue to adjust the fees based on CPI. If CPI were adjusted, it would make transitions from the pandemic easier as demand for these units will increase. But until then, we should guarantee CPI adjustments especially when this will not be active until Fall of 2021.

SRRC provides many opportunities for students to gain leadership experience. A CPI adjustment is recommended to ensure students continue having these opportunities.

I support the CPI adjustments to maintain the same level of buying power per these requests.

A lot of important information was included in the Departmental Report to COSAF that was not mentioned in the presentation. I recall the focus of the presentation seemed to be more on retention of undergraduate students. I appreciated hearing about the recruitment efforts. I think the graduate student community is VERY interested in recruitment and retention of students from underrepresented, disadvantaged, and low-income communities. Financial support is really important for increasing diversity, especially in a pandemic.

With the increase of minimum wage, CPI adjustment would be crucial to the SRRC as they are run by students. The SRRC is home to various different communities and it provides support and services to students. This is important as it provides students with a sense of belonging at UC Davis.

The students employed at SRRC are crucial to the operations and community in the surrounding areas. My hope is more outreach can happen through this virtual setting and that more creative ways can be developed and implemented. I wish more students can hear about this center.

SRRC would need these funds in the event that we are able to return to an in person environment for Fall 2021. If we are able to return to an in person environment and the SRRC has an event, I think we would see a large turnout. Potentially, larger than it was pre-Covid because people would want to feel like they're actually apart of the campus. There's a disconnect from the "college student" life when you aren't on campus everyday. Despite that, the world is so fickle right now. It's better to be prepared.

Similar to the other two, they are wanting additional funding to keep up with the increase of minimum wage, so that they continue paying their employed students and still offer the same services.

The are moving forward with some relevant ideas.

I support raising the CPI for the Student Recruitment and Retention Center because it ensures that the students who are apart of one of seven SRRC groups here on campus won't see a reduction of services provided.

## Campus Based Fee: FACE/LEEAP *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Campus Recreation

Hoping for an ARC reopening soon!

They are doing great work especially with the transition to online!

Campus Recreation plays a very important part in maintaining the physical and mental health of the university.

In spite of the pandemic, the Rec Center has been able to serve students' needs, reduce stress and provide an opportunity to exercise.

Campus Rec has done a great job providing an outlet for students during this tough time. This CPI adjustment would help this department to continue serving students and their well being.

A CPI adjustment would guarantee workers' economic safety, even if the pandemic is expected to end. This would ensure Campus Recreation to keep operating through the pandemic and will provide easier shifts once the pandemic is gone. More demand for Campus Recreation is to be expected once this pandemic has reached an end. However, workers' safety in minimum wage and operation should be the current priority.

Campus Recreation took COSAF's suggestions from last year and made according improvements. A CPI increase is well warranted since their leadership has displayed empirical commitment to using funds to improve their department's services.

I support the recommendation for CPI adjustment to campus recreation to remain available to students.

The ARC is a great resource to our campus and necessary for the health and well-being of our student population. It is well used by undergraduate and graduate students, and I appreciate the commitment to adapting services for virtual environments and implementing the pandemic-related cleaning and safety precautions. Thank you for employing our students as well!

The ARC has played a major role in maintaining student's wellness and CPI adjustment is important as it will provide Campus Recreation the fund needed to operate these facilities and support students' health in this pandemic.

I was pleased that despite that the current times that Campus Recreation did not lose revenue. I am sad that there was no surplus because of the COVID situation, but hope with facilities slowly opening that operations will be back to normal. Thankful for our students to have these opportunities to have a sense of belonging through the various programs and facilities under Campus Recreation.

Campus Rec would need these funds in the event that we are able to return to an in person environment for Fall 2021. There would be more student employees present and costs would definitely increase. Even then, there's so much that could change in the next year given our current circumstances. It's better to be prepared.

They appear to be needing the additional funding for student salary wages since the minimum wage is being increased to \$15/hour. By not being given the funding, the Campus Rec would have to take away some of the services that they are offering students, which would be a shame.

Great thinking and initiative to adapt to Covid 19 and provide student resources online. Will they still continue in 2021 and do they bring in revenue? I am still skeptical as to the rec swim center and the aquatic knowledge the full time staff have. The limited lap swim times and gym spaces call to a reduction of fees for students, yet we haven't seen that.

I support the raising of the CPI for Campus Recreation since it is necessary to help pay students their wages while at the same time ensuring that services are not scaled back.

Good to see the department is trying to get the Campus Recreation service back. However, in the future, I hope to see the more equipment and facility renovations that are directly related to the students' needs, which currently I'm not aware of any surveys for the ARC users to determine which equipment they are using the most frequently. For example, there is always a waiting line for the bench press and the squat rack, while the cycling machines always have extra. I suggest that Campus Recreation give the users online survey questionnaires that determine which equipment needs to be renovated or added.

## Campus Based Fee: FACE/LEEAP *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Intercollegiate Athletics

Their presentations are always detailed and clear and are very helpful. I think they are making good use of the fee money.

The use of these facilities has been minimal and the future use of these centers is clear.

ICA provides many resources to students that are beneficial for their well-being. This CPI adjustment is necessary to continue the same level of support being offered to the UC Davis community.

Due to the variability of the economic state of funds due to the pandemic, I recommend CPI adjustment to the portion of the FACE/LEEAP fee Supporting Intercollegiate Athletics: Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium. It is important to note that as minimum wage increases, CPI adjustment is required to keep these fees appropriate and up to standard. As the pandemic continues, it is best to support these units and guarantee economic safety even if the pandemic is expected to end. A CPI increase would guarantee workers' safety through these harsh times.

ICA has well justified the necessity of a CPI increase toward the maintenance of their facilities. However, they need to figure out participation activities now that PE will no longer be active in the facilities.

I support the use the FACE/LEAP fees regarding Intercollegiate Athletics because I feel it is important to provide the same buying power we have been. Intercollegiate Athletics have been able to be available to students amidst a global pandemic and remain. I feel not supporting this would result in less availability to students which is disadvantageous for maintaining a healthy lifestyle with fluctuating quarter schedules.

In light of the pandemic and economic stresses, my vote is to reduce program services or use reserves instead of increase CPI for the upcoming year. Great presentations and explanation of the fees. However, it is not a top priority to graduate students who largely don't utilize these facilities.

The Schaal Aquatics Center is important for the community, especially during this pandemic as it provides and promotes health and mental well-being. I believe the CPI adjustment would be placed to good use as there is some maintenance that needs to be done to reach adequate standards. The UC Davis Health Stadium will also be used for Intercollegiate Athletics and events so an increase in CPI would be helpful for them in maintaining the facilities.

Despite COVID-19, the Schaal Aquatics Center was used for various clubs and community members. Currently, the maintenance of Schaal Aquatics Center has been manageable, but need the recommended and required maintenance soon. When more of the facilities open up, that these facilities will be utilized more.

These services are still needed/used during COVID-19

I think that they are deserving as they are very student focused. They hire and pay student employees and provide a lot of services that are for UC Davis students.

I am a fan of the facilities but would like to see more use of Schaal for the students and clubs, especially given the termination of PE classes and students still paying the SASI fee. I am wondering where the funds will now be redirected.

I support raising the CPI for these services since, without it, services provided to students would have to be scaled back. These services provide much-needed rest and relaxation to the students and by scaling back, it would be doing them a disservice.

Best of luck with heightened security measures.

Good to see the renovations of the equipment and stadium, and the student athletes can play in the pandemic.

## Campus Based Fee: CEI

**COSAF Oversight:** Review presentations from the departments receiving funding from the fee. Vote to recommend/not recommend a CPI adjustment to the fee in 2021-22.

**Fee Name:** CEI – Campus Expansion Initiative

**Fee Summary:** Passed in 2002 to provide funding for: ICA move from Division II to Division I, Coffee House expansion, Unitrans new buses/new Silo bus terminal, Sport Clubs and Intramural Sports financial support to expand rosters and sports, Principles of Community Center (Student Community Center), Student Health Center and a return to aid component.

	2020-21	2021-22 with 1.7% (est.) CPI Adjustment
Fee Amount: Annual Fee, Undergraduates:	\$ 595.04	\$ 603.66
Annual Fee, Grad/Professionals:	\$ 206.25	\$ 208.85
Annual Fee, Law Students:	\$ 195.98	\$ 198.44

Department Presentations	Name of Presenter	Date of Presentation
Budget & Institutional Analysis	Jessica Lewis, Principal Budget Analyst	1/8/21
Student Health & Wellness Center	Margaret Walter, Executive Director	1/8/21
ASUCD Coffee House	Darin Schluep, Food Service Director	1/8/21
ASUCD Unitrans	Jeff Flynn, General Manager	1/8/21
Intercollegiate Athletics	Rocko DeLuca, Interim Athletics Director Anissa Nachman, Athletics Associate Director	1/22/21
Student Community Center	Rebecca Miller, Associate Director	1/22/21
Campus Recreation	Jeff Heiser, Campus Recreation Associate Director	1/22/21

*The Council voted to recommend a CPI adjustment to all fee recipients*

### Quorum Met

Total Voting Members: **19**  
In Attendance: **15**  
Quorum was met at **79%** attendance

Action Item #2021-010

### CEI CPI Vote

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Votes to recommend CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by 66%, rounded to the nearest number.*

- Law student only votes on **Student Health & Counseling Services**
- Grad and Professional students only vote on **Campus Recreation, Student Health & Counseling Services, Student Community Center**
- Undergraduates, faculty and staff vote on **ALL** components of CEI

*Count include 1 absentee Graduate Member vote and 1 absentee Undergraduate Member vote*

CEI Fee Recipient	YES	NO	Results
Intercollegiate Athletics, Grants-in-aid	11	2	CPI Adjustment Recommended
Campus Recreation, Sport Clubs & Intramural Sports	15	1	CPI Adjustment Recommended
ASUCD Coffee House	12	1	CPI Adjustment Recommended
Student Community Center	13	3	CPI Adjustment Recommended
Student Health & Wellness Center	16	1	CPI Adjustment Recommended
Unitrans <b>(Does not receive a CPI adjustment)</b>			

## Campus Based Fee: CEI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Intercollegiate Athletics, Grants-in-Aid

The Intercollegiate Athletics did a great job on the presentation. I think the CPI adjustment will help their operations as some games have commenced. The ability to compete at the Division 1 level is imperative and brings the school recognition.

The information provided was clear and explain in which the CPI adjustment to the portion of the CEI fee was appropriate.

Great additional support. I would like to see next year the graphics on what demographics (i.e. gender, ethnicity, first-generation) within Intercollegiate Athletics support.

I wouldn't want to see a reduction in the sports and athletes in our Division I program due to a lack of funding. COVID-19 was something our athletic program couldn't have foreseen and their department has been deeply affected by it.

I appreciate their lean spending habits. I would like to see more recent examples of ICA athletics building UC Davis's publicity. A CEI inflation is necessary to ensure students continue receiving vital athletic scholarships. I am voting no, not because I disagree with ensuring ICA can manage its budget, but because I want to highlight that a lot of UC Davis students are unhappy with the idea of fees rising during COVID. Fees have remained the same for 2020-21 despite many arguments for reducing tuition. Of course, the campus needs fees to continue running. I am not arguing that fees should be abolished or even reduced. However, increasing fees should be avoided. Campus administration should at least cover the inflationary adjustments for 2021-22.

CEI does not fully cover Grant-in-aid. Not receiving the CPI adjustment would worsen the issue.

Athletes play a major role in representing our school and should continue to receive scholarship aid.

These are very important programs. It will be a joy to have everyone competing again!

Fully support this!

With no competition, there has been limited funding for athletics, but the future remains bright. A significant investment into the new high performance center will attract top athletes to UC Davis as well as further develop current talent, and generate more revenue. Additionally, the limited funds available for scholarships are a priority to increase. With tuition going up, and financial constraints on individuals, especially for internationals, this is essential that funds are available to support academic and athletic individuals and what they have to offer UC Davis.

Raising the CPI is important since it ensures that scholarships won't be compromised

#### ASUCD Coffee House

I really enjoyed ASUCD Coffee House presentation. As a student found and student-run organization, they have been struggling during this pandemic and I think the CPI adjustment will help them in operations.

The coffee house was still open throughout the pandemic in order to serve. I believe that their recommend CPI adjustment is reasonable based on the presentation and information that was provided.

I hope ASUCD Coffee House will be fully operational next academic year. It was discouraging to hear that the Coffee House closed down again due to less students on campus.

Glad to see that the Coho has jumped on board to the virtual menu. Having the menu on the TVs make it seem more established. It is also nice to see they listened to our comments last year and adjusted the requirements for their workers.



## Campus Based Fee: CEI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### ASUCD Coffee House *(continued)*

I am disappointed that the CoHo has shut down due to COVID. I hope student workers were compensated because some of them likely relied on that income. Regardless, I love the operational adjustments made for COVID. A lot of them should definitely be kept. Considering that the CoHo shut down, a CPI adjustment seems especially necessary. However, I do not feel like I can make a fair recommendation at this time. If COVID continues to worsen in the state and the CoHo cannot reopen in fall, students should not pay increased fees to support a service that cannot even be used. Furthermore, a lot of students are reeling from the financial shocks caused by COVID. Campus administration should cover for inflationary adjustments in the 2021-22 year.

The ASUCD Coffee House is staffed and utilized primarily by students. The CPI adjustment is in the best interest of the student population.

The CoHo has become an integral part of our campus and they should be supported in running their business to continue serving the Davis community.

The Coffee House is a very important hub for the entire campus!

I am glad to hear that the CoHo will be coming back stronger post-covid. I think they do great work and provide valuable opportunities for students.

The CoHo is at risk of shutting down. Yet it remains central to life on campus.

In order to keep the CoHo at tip-top operational status, I support raising the CPI especially in light of reopening in Fall 2021

#### Student Community Center

I think SCC provided a good presentation however I don't think a CPI adjustment is necessary at this time. Moreover, the SCC has been closed to the public since the end of March 2020.

The information provided by the Student Community Center was clear. I was able to understand the purpose of the organization and where their spending was on throughout the year.

I hope the SCC will open up for the 2021-2022 academic year. The space is greatly needed for students who do not have a place to study, mediate, or empowered through the various resource centers housed there.

The Student Community Center is home to a lot of students on campus that are typically marginalized. The amount of space available to study is nice for when you don't want to be in the atmosphere the Library has during midterms and finals.

Appreciate that they are already thinking about how to reopen. This is not COVID related but the SCC should try to optimize its room reservation system. The website is confusing considering how many buttons need to be pressed. This no vote is not because I do not think the SCC should get the fees it needs to survive in the 2021-22 year. However, with little progress made in the state's COVID-19 recovery, the burden of inflationary adjustments for student services should not be placed on students in the 2021-22 year. Also, if the campus does not reopen by fall and the state needs to restrict indoor gatherings again, it will be hard to justify paying increased fees to fund the SCC when the SCC will continue having record low usage.

The CPI adjustment would be advantageous to mitigating the rising cost of custodial services and supplies and the increased student minimum wage.

## Campus Based Fee: CEI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Student Community Center *(continued)*

I was very impressed with the center's ability to address the needs of students and the way they were able to pivot and provide services in this on-line environment.

The Student Community Center houses so many incredible organizations which help students feel connected to the school. It's great to see that some programs are still being run virtually to offer students a space to form relationships.

This is a very important service to the campus.

This is a very critical program for students on campus who are looking to feel connected to their campus.

Informative presentation. This building provides options for students that don't always want to go to a library to study.

The SCC is a very important service for the students, but its services should be improved. First, the SCC has many services that are departments that are more of political effects instead of practical. For example, some programs are created and funded by the decisions of the administration, but not aligned with the practical needs of certain groups. Solve the real-world problem is equally important as presenting a good public image of campus diversity and involvement. I suggest SCC adjust the programs by receiving direct feedback from the corresponding student groups. Second, the international students are a large group at UCD that needs unique help from the SCC regarding campus involvement, language, and culture. They often find difficulties involving in college life due to language and cultural barriers. The SCC should provide better services to the international students, as they have more than 10% of the student population.

The request for CPI funds is to update and maintain structure. The purpose of the SCC is valuable, therefore I support what this project is trying to achieve.

Yes, great way for students to find a place of their own. Right now it must be difficult since there aren't many students on campus.

I support raising the CPI to ensure its services are not decreased

#### Student Health and Wellness Center

The information provided helpful information related to student health services on campus. There is a lot offered that I was not previously aware of.

Providing critical services in an especially pertinent time.

The SHCS did a great job on the presentation. I think their services are crucial especially in the middle of this pandemic where they facilitate COVID-19 testing for UC Davis students and surrounding Davis residents.

In some areas Student Health and Counseling Services were suggested to have reduced in their fees; however, it is to make up in other areas that were cut short in this pandemic. I believe that their presentation and information that was provided was thorough and explains many reasons for their CPI adjustment.

I wish more support can be provided to the Student Health and Counseling Services office as this pandemic and current racial injustices brought about higher mental health concerns among the students, staff, faculty, and the Davis community.

Services provided by the Student Health & Counseling Service are more crucial now than they have ever been before. There is a lot of emotional and physical stress that can arise due to the circumstances our country is in. Mental & Physical health has never been as important as it is right now. I love that they offer virtual appointments & can't wait to see what they do with the abortion services once they no longer have to prioritize COVID-19.

## Campus Based Fee: CEI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Student Health and Wellness Center *(continued)*

Thank you for being part of the COVID-19 response effort, both in terms of mental health services and COVID-19 testing. I have no doubt that CEI adjustment is well warranted for this vital program. My "No" vote is not because I disapprove of Student Health and Counseling Services. In fact, I really believe that it deserves a fee adjustment. However, many students do not want to keep paying for increased fees while still facing a multitude of financial problems caused by COVID-19. Campus administration should try and cover for inflationary changes to CEI fees without increasing another aspect of students' tuition for the 2021-22 year.

The services provided are necessary. Student employees in the clinical position should be a focus. Veterans and students from all backgrounds attend UC Davis and often find themselves stuck between looking for a job in Davis or surrounding areas. It would be advantageous to the student population with the correct certifications, prior or after COVID, to be able to attend class minutes away from where they work. I do hope to see recruitment efforts made in the future. Regarding the concerns from last year on whether the availability of counseling is afforded to students, despite most of the comments pertaining to the counseling availability, I did not feel there was much effort to mitigate or rectify those concerns outside of the pandemic helping to facilitate the virtual options.

They are a big part about what's going on right now with COVID so I think if the CPI adjustment could help them fulfill all their goals.

They are critical in these times, and they were able to be flexible in how they deliver their services.

Student Health and Counseling Services continue to provide crucial services to the UC Davis community. Especially with the pandemic, I appreciate how action plans were explained during the presentation as well as the greater focus on mental health.

Student Health and Counseling Services are an especially needed program during and after the pandemic. CEI adjustment would only further help the program to achieve their intended duties.

These services are very important, especially during Covid 19.

These services are very important especially right now. I really like what you are all doing in order to make sure students in Davis are healthy during this time.

This has been a big year for Student Health and Counseling! I am in favor for CPI adjustments to be made in this sector and believe they need all the support they can do continue to operate in high demand.

To ensure that the services of the student health and counseling are not decreased, I support raising the CPI.

#### Unitrans ***(CPI does not apply. Following is the Council's feedback regarding the presentation.)***

I think the ASUCD Unitrans did such a great job in presenting. I like how they are going to replace the bus fleet with an electric one which is more environmentally friendly especially for the bikers in Davis.

The presentation and information that was provided by the ASUCD Unitrans were detailed and explained where their fees were going. One of the strongest suits for Unitrans is that it provides so many jobs to the students as well as being one of the primary transportation that the student can rely on also make them very important.

Great presentation! Wish you the best of luck in the hiring process for drivers and other roles student can participate.

## Campus Based Fee: CEI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Unitrans *(continued)*

I'm excited to ride Unitrans electric busses. I like the seats Unitrans currently use as it feels more sanitary than the cloth ones and seem more durable than the last.

During a "normal" year, I rely on Unitrans a lot. Please keep fighting to expand your services. Also please try and hold your drivers accountable for morning shifts. I understand that this might be difficult but if a driver cannot make a 7AM bus stop on time, please hold them accountable. A lot of students with morning classes depend on that timeliness.

Many students in Davis utilize the Unitrans buses. I think zero emission buses would contribute to improving the air quality and overall health of the community. I would like to see more efforts to mitigate greenhouse gases from the energy sources of the buses.

It was very interesting the behind the scenes work for Unitrans

I am happy to see Unitrans looking to hire students. The pandemic has hit transportation services hard and they appear to have a plan to move forward. Reliable transportation is critical in Davis.

I am excited for all of the current projects underway especially as they will have more of a positive impact on the environment!

The presentation was very informative, and I hope to see more drivers out there as the upcoming fall quarter begins. I hope ASUCD is taking every precaution to enforce public health guidelines as well, and I hope drivers are distributed a vaccine soon.

Very impressive that they are going forward with electric buses.

Unitrans is great! One of the best campus services!

The issue of CEI and Unitrans needs to be further addressed given the fact that they have not seen a raise in over 15 years

## Campus Based Fee: AGGIE FEE

**COSAF Oversight:** Review presentation from The Aggie. The fee will sunset at the end of 2020/21, therefore there will not be a CPI recommendation from the Council.

**Fee Name:** **The Aggie Fee**

**Fee Summary:** The Aggie fee funds the majority of the operations of *The California Aggie* newspaper, including newspaper printing, staff pay, equipment purchasing, and marketing. This is the fifth year the Council had oversight of The Aggie, as the referendum passed in Winter 2016 and the fee began in Fall 2016-17. Sunset of the fee is in the 2020-21 school year.

<b>Fee Amount:</b>	Annual Fee, Undergraduates:	<u>2020-21</u> \$ 12.33	<u>2021-22</u> The California Aggie will be funded from the new ASUCD Basic Needs and Services Referendum
--------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	--

Department Presentation	Name of Presenters	Date of Presentation
California Aggie (ASUCD)	Anjini Venugopal, Editor in Chief Laurie Pederson, Business/Advertising Manager	2/19/21

## Campus Based Fee: AGGIE FEE *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

It was really informative with good details on how they have changed a lot since Covid began. With the Aggie fee, I was able to learn a lot

I think they are using the fee dollars very well. They are doing very important work to bring new to the students at Davis and the community as a whole.

Thank you for the presentation! I enjoy reading The Aggie, and I'm also in high support of the oxford comma. Has the California Aggie Newspaper also reached out to other sources of funding (other than the Aggie Fee). If so, what?

The Aggie Newspaper is a very important campus program. I am sure that students will greatly enjoy the paper once we are back on campus!

A newspaper is an essential part of any college campus. I think it was important for me to hear that there is no journalism alternative for UCD students to gain my full support. I look forward to reading future news prints!

I think it's great that the California Aggie is providing not only the journalism experience to interested students but keeping our entire community informed. This is absolutely essential!

The newspaper provides important information to many, it also helps create community. It brings us together to share news, but also allows us to share fun new ideas. I hope to see it strengthens both on-line and in print.

When I got to UC Davis from Texas, The California Aggie was one of the important factors that contributed to my experience at the university. I was impressed and filled with pride to see that the school had invested in a printed newspaper operated by students. I think the California Aggie is an important part of the campus experience at UC Davis.

The Aggie newspaper is doing a good job representing UC Davis. I suggest the department hires more student employees with different backgrounds, so we can hear different voices from both the left and the right.

Thank you for your presentation! Hopefully, Fall 2021 will be in-person so the revenue of the California Aggie will increase. Thank you for being one of the largest student employers on campus. Hope in your next presentation you can share how the newspaper holders are maintained and if more will be created next upcoming year. If you do need to create more newspapers then maybe enlist the Arts department.

Their use of the Aggie Fee is fair. They provide ample opportunities for students to get experience in journalism. This is important since there is no journalism major on campus. Furthermore, the marketing, managing, writing, editing, and other opportunities the California Aggie provides are useful skills students can grow in a safe space in preparation for the workforce.

I really appreciate the California Aggie newspaper converting their puzzles to the online format. Puzzles we're my favorite thing in the newspaper. It's important to maintain these smaller student jobs during the pandemic in any way possible.

They did a great job on the presentation. I think the California Aggie Newspaper is an integral part of the Davis community. The use of the Aggie Fee will help them operate in the current circumstances.

## Campus Based Fee: SASI

**COSAF Oversight:** Review presentations from departments receiving funding from the fee. Provide comments and recommendations regarding appropriate use of fee revenue.

**Fee Name:** SASI – Student Activities and Services Initiative

**Fee Summary:** SASI is a continuation of the Student Services Maintenance Fee which was passed in 1993. SASI initiative was passed in 1994 to provide additional fee revenue to Intercollegiate Athletics, Intramural Sports and Sport Clubs, Recreation programs and it redirected the Student Health Fee to Intercollegiate Athletics.

CPI adjustment is automatic per referendum language.

		<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22 with 1.7% (est) CPI Adjustment</u>
<b>Fee Amount:</b>	Annual Fee, Undergraduates	\$ 380.90	\$ 387.38

*The Council forwarded Comments & Recommendations on 12/10/20*

Action Item #2021-007

Department Presentations	Name of Presenter	Date of Presentation
Budget & Institutional Analysis	Jessica Lewis, Principal Budget Analyst	11/20/20
Campus Recreation	Jeff Heiser, Associate Director	11/20/20
Cross-Cultural Center	Chaz Cruz, Director Olivia Hernandez, Associate Director	11/20/20
Women's Resources & Research Center	Cecily Nelson-Alford, Director	12/4/20
Intercollegiate Athletics	Kevin Blue, Director	12/4/20

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Campus Recreation

I am super appreciative of Campus Rec finding ways to provide activities virtually to students as I believe they play a significant role in strengthening the mental health of students. Thank you!

Great that Campus Recreation adopted virtual methods to maintain student engagement with their events. Also great that they are looking into the future and already considering what virtual events they can keep holding after COVID-19.

I appreciate how Campus Recreation still has so many students employed during this pandemic. Having a steady source of income is crucial for maintaining basic resources at this time.

Great job adapting the services to a virtual environment and helping keeping our campus safe and healthy!

It wasn't clear what the additional Facility/Maintenance funding would go to if the ARC is closed. It isn't clear how increased maintenance would be used in a closed facility.

Campus Recreation is using the SASI fees well.

I appreciate how they have become available to students and provide resources virtually.

The Campus Recreation presentation and the use of the SASI fees were understandable and easy to read. I do not have any other comments regarding Campus Rec. and the SASI fee uses. Thank you for the presentation.

## Campus Based Fee: SASI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Campus Recreation *(continued)*

I thought they did a good job with the transition to remote services. Very informative presentation.

It was very informative and the way the presentations described the SASI fee and Campus Rec, made it easy to understand. Thank you.

Love what y'all are doing to continue supporting students!

#### Cross-Cultural Center

The Cross Cultural Center offers important services that promote and foster diversity in an educational manner. Great that they are offering virtual services and hopefully they can keep some virtual things post-COVID-19 to increase use of their services.

I loved how they displayed their weekly schedule. Even in a virtual environment, they still managed to have plenty of informative events going on. It's great to see that the money is being used as COSAF intended it to. Also, that the Cross Cultural Center was able to boost their online engagement by thousands.

I appreciate the commitment to recruit and retain students from diverse backgrounds. Students from low-income households and underrepresented ethnic groups face challenges that are not experienced by the majority of their peers. It's great to hear about some of the supports they are offered through the CCC as well as the recruitment efforts from high school students. I think it'd be great to provide departmental administrators with an "audit" of their student demographics and provide them with ways CCC can assist them in recruiting/retaining students from diverse populations.

I thought this group had good answers to fielded questions.

I really appreciate the way they were creative in their programming and their ability to transform themselves to better serve our UC Davis community.

I appreciate how the Cross Cultural Center is engaging with students virtually and maintain informative resources and representation from traditionally marginalized groups of students. I believe it is hard work and i appreciate them for taking time to provide this resource for the UC Davis community.

I'm glad the Cross Cultural Center is still operating to the best of their abilities. The presentation was clear and easy to follow, and I have no additional comments pertaining to the Cross Cultural Center and the use of the SASI fee.

I love that the CCC has been able to drive engagement in other ways since all programming is currently virtual. The social media engagement is impressive and I am excited about the podcast idea. Can't wait to see what other creative ideas are implemented to keep students engaged.

Also very good transition to virtual. Really like the podcast idea!

Easy going presentation/easy to understand

Wonderful program.

## Campus Based Fee: SASI (continued)

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Intercollegiate Athletics

So long as ICA continues making itself a space for student athletes to do what they love, their use of the SASI fee comports with its intended goals. The fee increase seems reasonable. Though ICA should strive to minimize programming costs since it makes no sense for it to be going up by almost \$260,000 during COVID-19. Otherwise, I would expect a decrease in expected allocation once ICA fully resumes post-COVID.

Intercollegiate Athletics presentation was very transparent and straightforward. I also appreciate their ability to maintain having student employees during this time.

I appreciated the commitment to transparency and frugality in expenses. Great examples were selected. Thank you!

It wasn't clear why there will be a hike in student salaries. I assume that no travel and fewer games will require fewer student managers and other student staff members.

Intercollegiate Athletics are good stewards, and we need the good feeling that sports bring, but I would still like to see how other revenue resources can support Athletics in lieu of students paying to support athletics.

I agree that the SASI fund regarding intercollegiate athletics, as mentioned, is an integral part of the D1 status and maintaining current operating conditions and expenses.

I'd like to say thank you for clarifying and distinguishing the differences between Intercollegiate Athletics (and the use of the SASI fee) and Physical Education. I have no other comments and thank you for the presentation, and to Kevin, I hope you have a wonderful time at Golf Canada back in Toronto.

I always appreciate how straightforward and transparent ICA is. I was happy to hear the detailed explanation on how some of the losses are being balanced out by cut in costs.

As usual, their presentation was informative and clear. I would recommend making the information about live streaming games more widely available! Also, look into virtual fan options for games!

Very informative

Sensibility in budget is incredible.

#### Women's Resource & Research Center

Liked the emphasis on intersectionality in the presentation. Would have liked to see a bit more discussion in the presentation about their funding allocations for their activities, such as specifics on their cost of goods and services as described in their templates.

The Women's Resource & Research Center is one of the more crucial resources our campus provides in my opinion. I love that they provide free menstrual supplies to anyone. I know people that have gone there to get those supplies in their time of need. Also, having their own library with books that typically aren't found anywhere in Davis is really cool. It could come in handy for students trying to find their books for classes.

Thanks for sharing examples of the diversity of your services to the student body--which much more expansive than implied by the name. Many graduate students are also parents, and they definitely appreciate assistance! Thanks for a great presentation.



## Campus Based Fee: SASI *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Women's Resource & Research Center *(continued)*

This presentation gave a good overview of the needs of the Women's Resources and Research Center.

I really enjoyed how the Center is building community and reinventing itself to meet the needs of their community. I also like the way they are inclusive and meeting the needs of many.

I appreciate the services and resources they are providing to the student parent population and any students who may have dependents.

I have no comments regarding the Women's Resources and Research Center presentation and their use of SASI fees. The presentation was straight forward and easy to understand given the pandemic.

The WRRRC provides invaluable support to many students and members of the UC Davis community, thank you for continuing to provide resources especially in these tough times.

Love the virtual office idea! I think you should share that with other centers! It was one of the most unique virtual programs I have seen from any of the centers.

Is a great resource and I learned a lot more about the services provided. This is a great center and i am happy I was here to listen. Thank you!

Love the support for student parents/guardians!

## Campus Based Fee: TGIF

**COSAF Oversight:** Review annual report and provide comments and recommendations regarding appropriate use of fee revenue.

**Name of Fee:** **TGIF – The Green Initiative Fund**

**Fee Summary:** The Green Initiative Fund (TGIF) was created to promote sustainable development by providing monetary resources to the UC Davis Community and to educate students of all backgrounds by empowering them to develop, propose, and enact sustainable projects on campus.

In Winter Quarter 2016, the initiative was presented to students and passed. An undergraduate TGIF student fee (\$3.00 per quarter) began in the fall of 2016. The TGIF committee and manager are required to report to COSAF annually.

Fee is sun setting this school year, 2020-21. There is no CPI adjustment to the fee.

**Fee Amount:** Annual Fee, Undergraduates: 2020-21  
\$ 9.00

*The Council forwarded Comments & Recommendations on 4/27/21*

Action Item #2021- 036

Department Presentation	Date of Presentation
Camille Kirk, Director, Office of Sustainability & Environmental Stewardship Carla Fresquez, TGIF Grant program Manager & Student Engagement Manager	04/23/21

## Campus Based Fee: TGIF *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

Great presentation and hope that the referendum will pass! I hope through publicity of the amazing projects done, student will support and vote for the continuation of the fund.

This service seems necessary for both the UCD campus and Davis in general. I like the idea of a program that allows students to explore sustainability in a practical way. Since UCD has a strong science presence, this fund furthers the interests of students. I respected the fact that the speakers asked for advice on marketing. This signaled to me that they really care about their initiatives.

No comments on their use of TGIF fee revenue itself. I am however questioning the fiscal prudence of issuing more grants despite all projects currently being on hold. That seems like a logistical challenge and I look forward to seeing how they address it.

I enjoyed their presentation and I believe the use of TGIF fee will make a positive impact to the UC Davis community.

I think advertising around the quad, Unitrans, inside and outside the ARC Pavilion and ARC, as well as the dormitory areas would be good for the referendum. As well as getting the news out on every listserv clubs and departments have on campus and maybe even promoting voting on it through zoom classes that tend to have a lot of students.

## Campus Based Fee: GSA FEE

**COSAF Oversight:** Review presentation from the GSA representative. Vote to recommend/not recommend a CPI adjustment to the fee in 2021-22.

**Name of Fee:** GSA Fee – Graduate Student Association

**Fee Summary:** To fund Graduate Student Association programming, services and facilities (operations costs, not capital).

Only COSAF Graduate members will vote on the recommendation for CPI adjustment.

	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22 with 1.7% (est) CPI Adjustment</u>
<b>Fee Amount:</b> Annual Fee, Grad/Professionals:	\$ 56.83	\$ 57.99

### Voting took place on 03/15/21. RECOMMENDATION RESULTS:

*The Council voted to recommend a CPI adjustment to the GSA Fee*

Action Item #2021-012

#### Quorum Met

Total Voting Members: **2**

In Attendance: **2**

Quorum was met at **100%** attendance

#### GSA CPI Vote

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws:

- Recommendations for CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by **66%**, rounded to the nearest number.
- Only Graduate & Professional student members will make recommendations on GSA Fee CPI adjustment

	# Of <u>Voters</u>	# Needed <u>to Pass</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Graduate Student Association (GSA) Fee	2	2	2	0

## Student Services Fee (SSF)

**Charge:** Review list of 2020-21 Student Services Fee recipients, based on report provided by Budget & Institutional Analysis. Request presentations from select departments receiving funding from the fee and provide recommendations to the VC of Student Affairs and VC of Finance, Operations & Administration regarding appropriate use of fee revenue.

**Fee Name:** Student Services Fee

**Fee Summary:** Set by the UC Regents and charged to all registered students system wide, with few exceptions. This fee supports services and programs that directly benefit students and that are complementary to, but not a part of, the core instructional program.  
The majority of the fee funds are spent on student services, including counseling and career guidance, cultural and social activities, and student health services.

<b>Fee Amount:</b>	Annual Fee, Undergraduates:	<u>2020-21</u> \$ 1,128	<u>2021-22</u> \$ 1,128
	Annual Fee, Grad/Professionals:	\$ 1,128	\$ 1,128

**Subcommittee:** COSAF formed a **subcommittee** of 7 members, tasked with reviewing completed templates from 31 SSF funded departments.

The subcommittee had 5 meetings during Fall 2020 and Winter 2021 to review and rank the top 5 departments to present before COSAF.

These 5 departments presented to COSAF during Spring quarter.

Following all presentations, entire Council completed a survey, providing input and comments on each department's use of Student Services Fee funds.

*The Council forwarded Comments & Recommendations on 4/27/21*

Action Item #2021-037

Department Presentations	2020-21 Estimated Allocation	Name of Presenter(s)	Date of Presentation
Academic Assistance & Tutoring Center	\$2,443,186	Carol Hunter, Executive Director	04/16/21
Educational Opportunity & Enrichment Services	\$2,137,049	Arnette Bates, Executive Director	04/16/21
LGBTQIA Program	\$386,269	Monae Roberts, Director	04/16/21
Operations and Maintenance of Plant	\$3,190,000	Peter Lentino Facilities Management	04/23/21
Student Disability Center	\$674,561	Jennifer Billeci, Director	04/23/21

## Student Services Fee *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Academic Assistance & Tutoring Center

It a campus group that benefits a large range of students. They have a well established business model and would use the funds to further benefit students .

This resource seems pretty essential, especially come finals time. I would like to see some additional advertising on campus (though I understand these services are already in high demand). I think this program meets the needs of the broader campus.

I have used this service before and I support the use of Student Services Fee revenue for the AATC.

The academic assistance and tutoring center is an essential service for undergraduate students. But I have seen many upper-division undergrad and graduate students searching for tutoring services regarding computer programming. I suggest AATC add new tutoring services for general programming, such as Python, MATLAB, R, and C++. These services may not be limited to a specific course, but they will help a large student population in need.

Thank you for your presentation! I appreciate the pivot you had to undertake due to the pandemic. I recommend surveying students and academic advisors about what courses they would like to receive support. I hope funding will be provided to expand academic tutoring to further support our large student population.

I would love to know if their drop-in advising zoom meetings are recorded for later referral/if a student couldn't attend. But overall, they seem to prioritize having top-tier tutors and teaching practices which I appreciate. I also didn't know they had a submission service for writing assignments, I may actually use that.

The increase in students seeking academic support doesn't surprise me as the virtual learning environment is a tough one. I hope to see an increase in support so students can be better served.

Great job being future-oriented by already thinking about what online or asynchronous services will be continued. As a comment, the scheduling system on Oasis is difficult to navigate, especially when you might have several different tutors with varying time slots. Is it possible to work with the IT team that controls Oasis and create one calendar with every professional staff member in a department listed? Perhaps have different colors for each person? One calendar would make finding appointments much easier as well.

The presentation was clear. As a tutor from community college, the tutoring system at the Academic Assistance and Tutoring Center is a great resource. Perhaps open tutoring labs could be useful on specific days of the week (after the pandemic). Sacramento City College had used this method in their MathLab where specialized tutors (in calculus, statistics, linear algebra) helped tutor students on a walk in basis.

#### Educational Opportunity & Enrichment Services

I liked what the speakers had to say. Looking at their website, it seems friendly and easily used. I support the workshops and trainings this service provides.

The Educational Opportunity & Enrichment Services offers a nice service, but as a veteran and a transfer student from Texas, I did not see much of the services or resources when I came to Davis. I would like to see more collaboration with the university to get in touch with transfer and veteran student who petition the application process and get accepted on short notice. This was my experience and I found myself stumbling in my first quarter trying to adapt to the quarter system, the pass times, where the VSC even was, my parking pass, etc. It was chaotic and required a lot more on my end that could have been mitigated through pro-activeness. I was added to the email list, so that was a plus!

## Student Services Fee *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Educational Opportunity & Enrichment Services *(continued)*

Thank you for your presentation! I think what was not mentioned was the pre-graduate advising as we heard a presentation from them last week. Another comment is include in your # of students chart how many students are identified as re-entry students or EOP students. This will help the listeners understand how many students are utilizing the services. Final comment is the strategies taking to further support students. Thankful to see the expansion of re-entry student services and affinity groups, but unsure what are the next steps.

I like that they focus on marginalized and minority communities on our campus who might have a harder time dealing with the transition and culture shock college can be.

The presentation was clear and informative.

For the Veterans Success Center, perhaps you could highlight some student Veterans publicly for their service, assuming you have their permission. VA has a blog that frequently accepts public nominations for Veterans to be highlighted.

Crucial services that need funding to support minority students!

#### LGBTQIA Program

It is a new and growing area in Davis that would benefit a developing group of the student population. There is a well established plan and resource bank available therefore would be appropriate for funding.

I appreciate the support that this program provides students. I liked the idea of the Discord chat and think it will be important for the future of the program. I think the speaker was genuine and had great plans for the year.

I think the LGBTQIA program is important and offers more resources than I initially knew about. I feel the LGBTQIA program would be advantageous to student in the LGBTQIA community and help for better inclusion on campus.

The program is an important support for UC Davis's diversity and inclusiveness. They are fairly using the funding to provide services for a large student population, including creating more inclusive environment for all UC Davis students.

Thank you for your presentation. I think what would help me are numbers of student participants to understand the impact of your services. Also how much students we are not reaching on our campus

I love that they include intersectionality in their approach to helping LGBTQIA students on campus and that they were able to provide crucial resources to students during the pandemic.

I love that the LGBTQIA Center is continuing to support students virtually. It's great to see the Discord space being actively used and hopefully will push students to reach out for support virtually if they are more comfortable.

The LGBTQIA Program has done a great job with transitioning into an online environment. I hope that it integrates some of the online serves from this year into its normal operations, especially its community wisdom or other community events which not all students can/are comfortable with attending physically.

I think that this program is especially necessary for the UC Davis LGBTQIA community. The presentation was clear and enlightening.

## Student Services Fee *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Operation and Maintenance of Plant

Excellent presentation.

Thank you for your presentation! I am still confused how the student service fee revenue is allocated or separated with other facilities operations and services. Thankful though to hear the visibility in understanding different maintenance on campus.

Facilities Management is an essential role of any college campus, regardless of an online setting. It is important that UC Davis maintain its campus beauty for visitors and prospective students in order to maintain attendance numbers. The presentation given was great and it was clear that the use of Student Service Fees would benefit the broader UCD campus. I thought it was helpful to know that this department has 584 employees and is essentially vertically integrating, furthering resource efficiency.

I would like for OMP to allow students to submit maintenance requests. Their website only says to call them for "urgent" building maintenance but that word itself dissuades many students from reporting problems. Additionally, making it phone-call only for students adds another hurdle for students to report problems.

The presentation was great and in-depth. I think their use of the student service fee revenue is well grounded.

It is important to understand the scope of work performed by the office, but I would have liked to have a more focused explanation of how student fees serves students and the importance of that monies for its continued operation.

It was great to hear how this department tackles the amount of acres UC Davis has. I had no idea our campus contained so many things whilst still being eco-friendly.

Good job on maintaining the campus facilities.

Thank you for providing so much detail! I learned a lot.

#### Student Disability Center

Thank you for your presentation, services, and support your center provides! My comment is working with Strategic Communications about social media outlets and language to promote your services and bring that anonymity to students.

As a student with a disability, this service has provided essential tools to improve my wellbeing at UCD. I have dealt with similar agencies in the past, but my experience at UC Davis has been the best. I think this is an important service and will be even more important as student come out of the pandemic.

SDC should engage itself with campus-based disability advocacy, such as speaking out against and advising instructors on alternatives to online proctoring services that severely hamper the performance of students with disabilities.

They did a great job in the presentation and I think it's very important to support our fellow students with disabilities.

A direct service to students and faculty. I like how they are thinking of better making their services known to the larger community so that the center is not seen as a stigma, but as a element of diversity and inclusion.

I'm glad to see there are no disparities between the academic successes of those with disabilities and those without on our campus. A good support system is crucial for students who have disabilities .

## Student Services Fee *(continued)*

### INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS:

#### Student Disability Center *(continued)*

The SDC provides crucial services to many students at UCD. I hope the department can give more assistance to TA and Instructors regarding the exam accommodations for disabled students. TA and Instructors are limited and often find it difficult to schedule the proctoring accommodated students, who are then not well served. The department should provide more proctoring personnel and spaces for the accommodations.

I am happy to see the increase in the number of students served. I hoped there will be continuous efforts to get more students the help they need.

## Student Programming Fund

COSAF Oversight: Review applications for funding requests and allocate up to a total of \$100,000 of Student Services Fee funds to qualifying programs in the 2021-22 academic year.

COSAF formed a **subcommittee** of 5 members, tasked with reviewing and making recommendations on incoming applications according to the qualifying guidelines:

- *Services and Programs of Growing Interest to Students*
- *Programs that Provide Crucial Services to Students*
- *Student Services and Programs Fostering Diversity*
- *Programs and Activities that Benefit a Large Range of the Student Population*

The subcommittee developed the following timeline to complete it's charge:

- Fall 2020 and Winter 2021. Call for applications.
- 02/01/21. Application deadline.
- The subcommittee had 4 meetings during Fall 2020 and Winter & Spring 2021 to review and rank applications based on meeting qualifying guidelines.
- Top ranked applicants presented to COSAF during Spring quarter.
- Following all presentations, entire Council ranked each application on the 4 qualifying guidelines and commented on recommended budget amounts.
- 04/21/21, subcommittee met to review survey results and recommendations. Approved final award amounts.
- Application requests were just over \$148,000. With \$100,000 to allocate, they knew they would not be able to fully fund all budget requests.
- Instead of not funding one application at all, they funded core items from each application and asked the groups/department to find other funding to support the line items that add the richness of fun, food, flair and networking to their events/programs.
- Preference was given to first time applicants and student groups over departments and repeat requests, as the intent is for this to be a one-time funding source.
- April 23 regular COSAF meeting, subcommittee announced final approvals to the entire Council.
- 04/27/21. On behalf of the Co-Chairs, the Council administrative support sent Award Letters to applicants, based on subcommittee's recommendation.

Total allocated for 2020-21 Programs: **\$101,644**

Action Item #2021-034

## Student Programming Fund *(continued)*

*Allocations were announced at the 04/23/21 meeting*

Application Title	Applicant Name	Event/Program Date(s)	Amount Requested	Amount Approved
Involvement Fair 2021	Kathleen Hinkson	October 5, 2021	\$7,004	\$4,749
Hack Davis 2022	Stephenie Cho	January 16-17, 2022	\$50,000	\$35,000
Unity in Diversity	Michelle Villegas-Frazier	Fall, Winter, Spring 2021-22	\$20,000	\$7,350
Aggie House	Ashley Lo	2021-22 Academic Year	\$25,475	\$25,475
Destress for Success, Recovery Speaker Jam, Recovery Carnival	Rebecca Gardner	November 2021, March & May 2022	\$9,500	\$6,050
Undergraduate Research Conference	E. Nunez	April 29-30, 2022	\$9,100	\$5,750
The Apply-A-Thon	Cloe Le Gall-Scoville	November 6, 2021	\$9,900	\$9,650
FYAC, Fall Welcome Kick-Off Event	Rachel Bingham	Welcome Week, Fall 2021	\$7,620	\$7,620

## Student Development Fund

COSAF Oversight: Review and approve/deny applications based on fund guidelines.

COSAF formed a **subcommittee** of 5 members, tasked with reviewing and making recommendations on incoming applications according to the qualifying guidelines.

The subcommittee met 8 times throughout Fall, Winter and Spring quarters. Following is a summary of their recommendations:

Fund Mission	No. of Applications Reviewed	Total Amount Awarded
<p>Student Development Funds promote the development of undergraduate students and is sponsored on behalf of the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs. Funds may be used to defer the cost of a variety of event expenses such as catering, conference registration, and travel.</p> <p><i>Due to COVID restrictions, applications were focused on virtual opportunities and outdoor trainings.</i></p>	26	\$11,040

**Action Item #'s:**  
 2021-001, 2021-002,  
 2021-008, 2021-009,  
 2021-013 – 2021-019,  
 2021-021 – 2021-033,  
 2021-038



## Additional Oversight & Recommendations from the Council

### Renewal Referendum: The Green Initiative Fund (TGIF)

COSAF Oversight: Provide Feedback on Draft Ballot Language. Endorse Finalized Ballot Language.

Referenda Summary: As the current TGIF fee is sun setting in 2020-21, a new referenda was introduced to the Council in Fall 2020, which would renew the original referendum. If passed, the fee would continue at \$3 per quarter through 2022-23, then increase each year thereafter by .50 through 2030-21.

COSAF is involved in two steps of new referenda protocol:

#### 1. Referendum sponsors present COSAF with a draft of the ballot language and accompanying materials in order to collect comments and possible edits to ballot language.

The draft ballot language was presented to COSAF at the 11/06/20 meeting and Council members provided the following feedback to the referendum authors:

Action Item #2021-003

#### OVERALL COMMENTS REGARDING THE DRAFT BALLOT LANGUAGE:

The ballot language seems to be clear. I do not anticipate confusion as to what a "yes" or "no" vote means.

My overall comments in regards to the TGIF Fee draft ballot language is that it is clear and easy to understand.

I believe that the TGIF Fee draft ballot language is presenting some very key information for the decision making. However, if it is possible, can the committee include more details on how this is benefiting the campus as a whole and students individually? I feel like that would really help COSAF understand the purpose and make decisions easier!

I think the TGIF ballot language looked a bit dense with all the words on the screen at once. I don't think you need to have the makeup of the committee included. I think overall though it was clear.

Overall, I think the TGIF Fee draft ballot language is informative. I think it could be made more clear by elaborating and adding some examples that are relatable to undergraduate students. It is important to appeal to undergraduate students as they are the main voters for this referendum.

I agree with the comments made at today's COSAF meeting about this ballot. This is a small fee. I would emphasize that 25% of the money will go to student aid.

Needs more about TGIF's mission statement and less about its composition. Maybe just a line about it being represented by a majority of undergraduate students is enough.

The Ballot Language seems pretty straight forward. As one of the members had mentioned, I think adding granted projects to the language would be beneficial, but I would not recommend adding specific projects. Instead, I would add just the link to the website of the current/past granted projects to avoid favoritism.

I think the TGIF Fee draft ballot language is concise and easy to understand. I was able to read through it a couple of times and understand what they are asking for, what they plan to do, and what happens with a "yes" or "no" vote.

The TGIF Fee draft ballot language was simple and easy to understand. It could be further refined by being more specific when disclosing the new changes as well as providing information on what TGIF is closer to the beginning of the read.

Please provide a description of what the Green Fund is in the opening sentence. The composition of the committee does not need to be included in the description of the ballot measure (opening 2 paragraphs) and would be better served in the background section. The background section could list former projects funded via the green initiative, but don't include this in the background section. Clarify what the "yes" vote for "renewal" means.

It provides an easy and understanding way to address their needs.

The language is clear, but there were areas that could use greater clarification. 1. Stating the goals of TGIF up front is important. 2. Pointing students to the web site to provide greater detail both on the committee makeup and on examples that illustrate both the kind of projects and the breath is helpful

## Additional Oversight & Recommendations from the Council *(continued)*

### Renewal Referendum: The Green Initiative Fund (TGIF)

#### OVERALL COMMENTS REGARDING THE DRAFT BALLOT LANGUAGE: *(continued)*

I think the language is will written and clear. I believe students will be able to understand the language and make a clear decision.

Overall, I thought the TGIF draft ballot language was very good. It was easy to read and very short and to the point.

#### SPECIFIC EDIT RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE COUNCIL:

Specify that the oversight committee for TGIF is COSAF. It would make it clear that there is a checks and balances system in place. Providing a few examples of things TGIF has funded would allow students to potentially recognize you guys. During COVID its very hard to be connected to campus and it's very likely they don't know that you guys exist. They might be aware of the projects and groups you've worked with. Providing a link to your website for the full list of those people as well.

I believe the minimum return to aid is 25% instead of 29%. Please make sure to edit and fix the table as the calculations are affected.

I think a 10 year length for the fee is reasonable (UC Berkeley has it right). Emphasize the mission of TGIF, rather than the composition of the oversight committee. Mention, too, some of the projects on campus that were funded by TGIF.

Include when this fee increase will start. Include what amount "incrementally" implies.

The mission statement and background may be beneficial to be placed near the top of the document. Additionally, more information in these categories might give students a better idea of who they are voting for and what the Committee's goals are.

I do not have any specific edits or additions I would like to recommend.

For the committee body, it is specified how many COSAF and ASUCD reps there are. It says there is an undergraduate majority - is there a certain #? Does this fluctuate every year depending on applications?

Move "improve education opportunities, promote environmental awareness...on campus" to the opening line of the ballot measure. Include that the description of "a flat fee of \$3.00 for 5 years." In the proposed fee, specify an "incremental increase of \$0.50/year to \$7.00 per quarter".

One addition I would add would be deleting the link to the past projects and perhaps just listing projects instead that way the student can see the impact of this fee on the spot rather than hoping they visit the website listed in the draft.

### **2. Referendum sponsors will submit all documents to the Chairs of Student Affairs and Fees (COSAF) to request COSAF's review and endorsement of the final ballot language. The Chairs of COSAF must add it to the COSAF agenda for review and will issue the Council's endorsement and/or comments in writing to the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs.**

The final ballot language was submitted to COSAF at the 04/05/21 meeting. Following are the results of COSAF's endorsement:

Voting Members Present: **18**

*I endorse the Undergraduate ASUCD Fee ballot language as is:*

**17** - Yes

**1** - No

*The Council voted to endorse the TGIF Renewal Referendum language and to have it added to the Spring 2021 ASUCD Election Ballot.*

Action Item #2021-020

## Ratification to Approve Council CPI Recommendations

### SUMMARY:

Action Item #2021-035

On April 23, 2021, the Council on Student Affairs and Fees ratified all CPI recommendations for the year.

These recommendations were sent to VC Ratliff and VC Reguerín on May 5, 2021, in the COSAF Annual Advisory Letter, signed by COSAF co-chairs.

## Recruitment of New Members 2021-22

During the month of May, the Co-Chairs, Council Advisor and Council Administrative Support will interview and selected new undergraduate and graduate COSAF members for the 2021-22 academic year.

In addition, the Council is working with GSA, LSA, the Academic Senate and Staff Assembly to fill the remaining positions as outlined in the Bylaws.

Four current Undergraduate members and two Graduate members will be returning in 2021-22.

## Conclusion

Despite a challenging year with COVID restrictions, we are pleased with the success of moving Council meetings and voting to a virtual environment. Attendance was impressive and survey feedback results reflected extreme engagement by the voting council members.

As we move forward in 2021-22, we look forward to again providing sound counsel that reflects the diversity of the student voice here at UC Davis and to continue our partnership with Vice Chancellor Reguerín of Student Affairs and Vice Chancellor Ratliff of Finance, Operations and Administration.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of COSAF,



Ariana Williams  
Co-Chair



Emita Amatya  
Co-Chair