Recommendation Results and Summary of Comments
COSAF Meeting, November 1, 2019
Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee (FACE)
Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program (LEEAP)

Quorum Met

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments must have a quorum, defined as half the voting membership, rounded up to the nearest number.

Total Voting Members: 19
In Attendance: 15
Quorum was met at 79% attendance

FACE CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by 66%, rounded to the nearest number.

FACE: 17 ballots completed (15 in attendance + 2 via proxy).
11 yes votes required to pass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intercollegiate Athletics</th>
<th>Campus Recreation</th>
<th>Student Recruitment &amp; Retention Ctr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the FACE fee for 2020-21 to all recipients

LEEAP CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: Only the LSA (Law Students Association) Representative will vote on CPI adjustments to the remaining portions of the LEEAP fee: Law School Intramurals and Law School Recruitment and Retention.

LEEAP: 1 voting member present. 1 yes vote required to pass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Law School Intramurals</th>
<th>Law School Recruitment &amp; Retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the LEEAP fee for 2020-21

* Per COSAF Bylaws, the LSA representative does not vote on this portion of FACE

Action Item #2020-001

Action Item #2020-002
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

Intercollegiate Athletics

YES Comments:

- Very important to UC Davis. They have made great strides at improving the athletic facilities. That progress needs to continue.
- Confused as to the necessity of increased security and how an additional $10k was being justified. Exciting to hear that you’re working to have Women’s Coach endowed as well.
- These entities are critical to student athletes and their ability to compete at the level they do. These teams help to increase morale and campus pride and should be supported. This adjustment will allow them to continue to provide a valuable sports experience for student athletes and fans of the Aggies.
- I served on COSAF last year as well, and can see the improvements the budget has been used for. The Schaal Aquatic Center has been tremendously improved, and the improvements need to have the means to maintain the new add-ons.
- Have shown they actually use their money for what they need. Have completed the Schaal Aquatic Center. I hope that Intercollegiate Athletics utilizes their resources appropriately to maintain both facilities.
- It is great that those high quality facilities are open to many other groups/organizations, in addition to the athletes. If possible, it would be great to open these to general students, I mean, make it more accessible. Not many students know about them, so advertise more on campus.
- The funding provided to these projects has been used very wisely and will definitely benefit the larger community.
- I think it would be better if more students get exposed to these amazing facilities. Personally, I thought not many students know what you can do here. (Like what kinds of access do students have).
- I know based on the presentation that the facility will be used to support other students/services outside Intercollegiate Athletics and I think that’s really awesome and I would love to see how all of UC Davis’ students benefit from these facilities in a presentation next year (especially the UC Davis Health Stadium).
- I vote yes for the UCD Health Stadium and Schaal Aquatic Center with Intercollegiate Athletics. These organizations bring students together with different backgrounds for one overarching goal.
- ICA provides opportunities for all of the campus community to utilize these facilities.
- What is the total cost to repair the deck? I know it is way overdue in maintenance. Keep up the good work despite funding is always an issue.
- Consistent maintenance of the Schaal Aquatic Center is vital for the safety of those who utilize it.
- The UC Davis Health Stadium and Schaal Aquatic Center serve such a large student base and promotes a healthy lifestyle, it is crucial that these two aspects receive adequate funding in order to keep serving existing and new students.

NO Comments:

- This program is great but they already have enough for one more year.

Comments from Alternate Non-Voting Members:

- Due to the rise of inflation, it seems perfectly reasonable to adjust the fee for CPI. FACE/LEEAP has shown progress and completion of recent projects that reflect a proper allocation of student fees.
- The presentation of how funds were used from Intercollegiate Athletics was very insightful. Walking around UC Davis Health Stadium and Schaal Aquatic Center, I was able to see improvements and new maintenance costs that arise. The CPI increase will benefit the buildings and maintenance greatly. Making the Stadium and Schaal open to more students will benefit a greater population. Let clubs know that they can use the buildings.
- The presentations were very concise and to the point. They made a good case to justify a CPI adjustment for their services. It was very helpful to see the Aquatic Center first hand. Seeing it helped me understand the direness of the situation. I would suggest enforcing rules to prevent students from vandalizing the property.
• Seemed very deliberate in the way they are spending money and expanding services. Would like to see more outreach. I hadn’t realized there were free student tickets.

Campus Recreation

YES Comments:
• Stress reduction for students is a very important issue on campus each quarter. The ARC is always crowded and well used. The other Campus Rec programs are important, too.
• From a graduate student perspective, it would be interesting to hear how many graduate students are actually using these facilities. Or rather, what percentage of grad students.
• As a student who frequently uses these services, I believe they are critical to the campus. Students need the ability to exercise and participate in extracurricular activities. This fee adjustment is crucial and I strongly support it.
• The ARC has dramatically changed since my freshman year to now my junior year. The improvements have expanded the population that uses the ARC and can cater to the larger student body.
• Campus Rec completed the highly anticipated project - the ARC. I hope that Campus Rec continues to use their reserved for projects like this that impacts a large population of students.
• So many students use the ARC and it is crucial to students’ health and fitness. One thing I want to point out is with increased student enrollment, some equipment is still too popular, you need to wait for using it. Also, wouldn’t the increased student population help reduce the fee per person? Even with the expansion and CPI increase.
• Previous funding has yielded great results, as seen with the ARC renovation and I recommend this CPI adjustment to continue this great work.
• It’s always great to participate in Campus Recreation events and I think getting more funds to improve these events are essential. The more of students’ participation, the better they will enjoy their college life.
• I think Campus Recreation is great for students to stay active and bond with other students.
• I vote yes for Campus Recreation because it fosters a diverse community but also brings a community together. As a resident advisor in the dorms, one aspect of Campus Recreation is RecSports and many 1st years are eager participants in this fun but healthy activity.
• Campus Rec continues to find ways to expand opportunities for students.
• I think it is important to adjust CPI for Campus Rec especially because so many students including myself rely on resources such as the ARC or IM sports to get our exercise.
• How many student employees would be expected in the following year? What is the need for the next year.
• Campus Recreation serves a majority of the UC Davis community and the variety of services that are offered gives everyone opportunities to make use of the services. Funding is necessary to maintain at least the same level of service and to strive for improvements in the future.

NO Comments:
• The presentation was great and informative but there is a need to focus on the programs that exist before expanding.

Comments from Alternate Non-Voting Members:
• Campus Recreation has done a good job with funding. They have upgraded the ARC, try to make the experience of recreation better for all students. The RecSports could use more advertising and help run the program.
• It was very helpful to see student comments and student made videos to see how impactful the program is. I would suggest streamlining the application process for intramural sports since many freshmen are confused on submission dates. Paper sign-ups for the dorms can be confusing.
• Excited to see improvements to ARC. Much needed, seems like a good use of money and worth the inconvenience.
Student Recruitment & Retention Center

YES Comments:

- The SRRC tackles a very real and prevalent problem. The impact they make is significant and adequate funding is necessary in order for them to keep serving underrepresented groups who make up such a large part of our total school population.
- The Student Recruitment and Retention Center provides our student body with a sense of belonging as well as habits and strategies for academic success.
- Marketing need to improve. Possibly coordinate with orientation team. How do you address other marginalized groups? Increase in partnership for all college-wide. Present at the undergraduate and graduate advisor conference. Possibly have student rep per division or department.
- I would’ve liked to see more details about the different programs during the presentation.
- I vote yes for the Student Recruitment and Retention Center. This organization brings diversity to the university and more importantly works to maintain that diversity.
- I would like to see more events/services for students that don’t necessarily identify with SRRC community programs and are more general. I know the ARRC is doing a community feeding event every month and I think that’s awesome.
- I believe there are students in need of help at all times, but since it’s limited to support all of them, more funds would increase the chance to support them. One suggestion I would want to make is that it’d be better to have more frequent meet-ups with students with similar cultural backgrounds - to engage them and have more involvement within a community.
- It is a great opportunity for students to work in these! The only thing is maybe give the student employees better benefits in terms of tuition partial wave, etc?
- I would love to see more advertising for the ARRC. A lot of their resources are unknown.
- The only problem I have seen with the center is that many people aren’t aware that they have this resource. I think that the marketing should be expanded so more students are aware of the resources available.
- I genuinely see the value of this program but I did not know the services were offered. I hope that they can continue to grow and spread the word.
- Of the 7 organizations under SRRC, are they receiving additional student fee revenues?
- This group needs to do more. Diversity in the student population on campus needs to improve.

NO Comments:

- While this program really helps students there is a need to really focus on making the existing services better by increasing awareness to other students instead of focusing on the students working.
- I think the ARRC should possibly look towards alternative sources of funding or scaling back given the magnitude of the projects currently being pursued by Campus Rec and the Athletics department to have a budget-friendly allotment of funds.

Comments from Alternate Non-Voting Members:

- This program needs the funding that they are allocated to the fullest. Students use this to feel comfortable at UC Davis. They are trying to do so much that the CPI increase is needed to be able to continue providing services.
- Presentations successfully argues the programs help promote a more equal environment. However, they must invest more in advertising or conducting research.
- Would like to recommend that they improve service outreach - I was unaware of many of their services.