

RECOMMENDATION RESULTS AND SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

COSAF Meeting, November 6, 2020
Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee (FACE)
Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program (LEEAP)

Quorum Met

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments must have a quorum, defined as **half the voting membership**, rounded up to the nearest number.*

Total Voting Members: **19**
In Attendance: **15**
Quorum was met at **79%** attendance

FACE CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by **66%**, rounded to the nearest number.*

FACE: **17** ballots completed (15 in attendance + 2 via absentee)
12 yes votes required to pass

	<u>Intercollegiate Athletics</u>	<u>Campus Recreation</u>	<u>Student Recruitment & Retention Ctr.</u>
YES	16	18	17*
NO	2	0	0

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the FACE fee for 2021-22 to all recipients

Action Item #2021-004

LEEAP CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Only the LSA (Law Students Association) Representative will vote on CPI adjustments to the remaining portion of the LEEAP fee: Law School Recruitment, Retention & Outreach.*

LEEAP: **1** voting member present. **1** yes vote required to pass.

	<u>Law School Recruitment, Retention & Outreach</u>
YES	1
NO	0

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the LEEAP fee for 2021-22

Action Item #2021-005

* Per COSAF Bylaws, the LSA representative does not vote on this portion of FACE

Please provide your comments regarding the [Intercollegiate Athletics](#) presentation on the Schaal Aquatics Center & UC Davis Health Stadium, and their use of FACE/ LEEAP fees:

Their presentations are always detailed and clear and are very helpful. I think they are making good use of the fee money.

The use of these facilities has been minimal and the future use of these centers is clear.

ICA provides many resources to students that are beneficial for their well-being. This CPI adjustment is necessary to continue the same level of support being offered to the UC Davis community.

Due to the variability of the economic state of funds due to the pandemic, I recommend CPI adjustment to the portion of the FACE/LEEAP fee Supporting Intercollegiate Athletics: Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium. It is important to note that as minimum wage increases, CPI adjustment is required to keep these fees appropriate and up to standard. As the pandemic continues, it is best to support these units and guarantee economic safety even if the pandemic is expected to end. A CPI increase would guarantee workers' safety through these harsh times.

ICA has well justified the necessity of a CPI increase toward the maintenance of their facilities. However, they need to figure out participation activities now that PE will no longer be active in the facilities.

I support the use the FACE/LEEP fees regarding Intercollegiate Athletics because I feel it is important to provide the same buying power we have been. Intercollegiate Athletics have been able to be available to students amidst a global pandemic and remain. I feel not supporting this would result in less availability to students which is disadvantageous for maintaining a healthy lifestyle with fluctuating quarter schedules.

In light of the pandemic and economic stresses, my vote is to reduce program services or use reserves instead of increase CPI for the upcoming year. Great presentations and explanation of the fees. However, it is not a top priority to graduate students who largely don't utilize these facilities.

The Schaal Aquatics Center is important for the community, especially during this pandemic as it provides and promotes health and mental well-being. I believe the CPI adjustment would be placed to good use as there is some maintenance that needs to be done to reach adequate standards. The UC Davis Health Stadium will also be used for Intercollegiate Athletics and events so an increase in CPI would be helpful for them in maintaining the facilities.

Despite COVID-19, the Schaal Aquatics Center was used for various clubs and community members. Currently, the maintenance of Schaal Aquatics Center has been manageable, but need the recommended and required maintenance soon. When more of the facilities open up, that these facilities will be utilized more.

These services are still needed/used during COVID-19

I think that they are deserving as they are very student focused. They hire and pay student employees and provide a lot of services that are for UC Davis students.

I am a fan of the facilities but would like to see more use of Schaal for the students and clubs, especially given the termination of PE classes and students still paying the SASI fee. I am wondering where the funds will now be redirected.

I support raising the CPI for these services since, without it, services provided to students would have to be scaled back. These services provide much-needed rest and relaxation to the students and by scaling back, it would be doing them a disservice.

Best of luck with heightened security measures.

Good to see the renovations of the equipment and stadium, and the student athletes can play in the pandemic.

Please provide your comments regarding the [Campus Recreation](#) presentation on the Activities and Recreation Center (ARC) and their use of FACE/ LEEAP fees:

Hoping for an ARC reopening soon!

They are doing great work especially with the transition to online!

Campus Recreation plays a very important part in maintaining the physical and mental health of the university.

In spite of the pandemic, the Rec Center has been able to serve students' needs, reduce stress and provide an opportunity to exercise.

Campus Rec has done a great job providing an outlet for students during this tough time. This CPI adjustment would help this department to continue serving students and their well being.

A CPI adjustment would guarantee workers' economic safety, even if the pandemic is expected to end. This would ensure Campus Recreation to keep operating through the pandemic and will provide easier shifts once the pandemic is gone. More demand for Campus Recreation is to be expected once this pandemic has reached an end. However, workers' safety in minimum wage and operation should be the current priority.

Campus Recreation took COSAF's suggestions from last year and made according improvements. A CPI increase is well warranted since their leadership has displayed empirical commitment to using funds to improve their department's services.

I support the recommendation for CPI adjustment to campus recreation to remain available to students.

The ARC is a great resource to our campus and necessary for the health and well-being of our student population. It is well used by undergraduate and graduate students, and I appreciate the commitment to adapting services for virtual environments and implementing the pandemic-related cleaning and safety precautions. Thank you for employing our students as well!

The ARC has played a major role in maintaining student's wellness and CPI adjustment is important as it will provide Campus Recreation the fund needed to operate these facilities and support students' health in this pandemic.

I was pleased that despite that the current times that Campus Recreation did not lose revenue. I am sad that there was no surplus because of the COVID situation, but hope with facilities slowly opening that operations will be back to normal. Thankful for our students to have these opportunities to have a sense of belonging through the various programs and facilities under Campus Recreation.

Campus Rec would need these funds in the event that we are able to return to an in person environment for Fall 2021. There would be more student employees present and costs would definitely increase. Even then, there's so much that could change in the next year given our current circumstances. It's better to be prepared.

They appear to be needing the additional funding for student salary wages since the minimum wage is being increased to \$15/hour. By not being given the funding, the Campus Rec would have to take away some of the services that they are offering students, which would be a shame.

Great thinking and initiative to adapt to Covid 19 and provide student resources online. Will they still continue in 2021 and do they bring in revenue? I am still skeptical as to the rec swim center and the aquatic knowledge the full time staff have. The limited lap swim times and gym spaces call to a reduction of fees for students, yet we haven't seen that.

I support the raising of the CPI for Campus Recreation since it is necessary to help pay students their wages while at the same time ensuring that services are not scaled back.

Good to see the department is trying to get the Campus Recreation service back. However, in the future, I hope to see the more equipment and facility renovations that are directly related to the students' needs, which currently I'm not aware of any surveys for the ARC users to determine which equipment they are using the most frequently. For example, there is always a waiting line for the bench press and the squat rack, while the cycling machines always have extra. I suggest that Campus Recreation give the users online survey questionnaires that determine which equipment needs to be renovated or added.

Please provide your comments regarding the [Student Recruitment and Retention Center](#) presentation and their use of FACE/ LEEAP fees:

I still want to know how their programs transition to a fully virtual but they are making good strides.

Reaching out to all members of the community is an important function of our university.

The center has continued to work and provide UC Davis students with the kind of programming that will help them thrive and succeed at UC Davis.

The SRRRC does very important work lifting marginalized communities up. This CPI adjustment is necessary so this department can continue their work that ultimately benefits our whole community.

A CPI adjustment would guarantee FACE/LEEAP fees to operate at a standard rate that would coincide with minimum wage. Especially during a pandemic, it is ultimately necessary to continue to adjust the fees based on CPI. If CPI were adjusted, it would make transitions from the pandemic easier as demand for these units will increase. But until then, we should guarantee CPI adjustments especially when this will not be active until Fall of 2021.

SRRRC provides many opportunities for students to gain leadership experience. A CPI adjustment is recommended to ensure students continue having these opportunities.

I support the CPI adjustments to maintain the same level of buying power per these requests.

A lot of important information was included in the Departmental Report to COSAF that was not mentioned in the presentation. I recall the focus of the presentation seemed to be more on retention of undergraduate students. I appreciated hearing about the recruitment efforts. I think the graduate student community is VERY interested in recruitment and retention of students from underrepresented, disadvantaged, and low-income communities. Financial support is really important for increasing diversity, especially in a pandemic.

With the increase of minimum wage, CPI adjustment would be crucial to the SRRRC as they are run by students. The SRRRC is home to various different communities and it provides support and services to students. This is important as it provides students with a sense of belonging at UC Davis.

The students employed at SRRRC are crucial to the operations and community in the surrounding areas. My hope is more outreach can happen through this virtual setting and that more creative ways can be developed and implemented. I wish more students can hear about this center.

SRRRC would need these funds in the event that we are able to return to an in person environment for Fall 2021. If we are able to return to an in person environment and the SRRRC has an event, I think we would see a large turnout. Potentially, larger than it was pre-Covid because people would want to feel like they're actually apart of the campus. There's a disconnect from the "college student" life when you aren't on campus everyday. Despite that, the world is so fickle right now. It's better to be prepared.

Similar to the other two, they are wanting additional funding to keep up with the increase of minimum wage, so that they continue paying their employed students and still offer the same services.

The are moving forward with some relevant ideas.

I support raising the CPI for the Student Recruitment and Retention Center because it ensures that the students who are apart of one of seven SRRRC groups here on campus won't see a reduction of services provided.

Good work