RECOMMENDATION RESULTS AND SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

COSAF Meeting, November 4, 2022
Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee (FACE)
Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program (LEEAP)

---

**Quorum Met**

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments must have a quorum, defined as half the voting membership, rounded up to the nearest number.*

Total Voting Members: **19**
In Attendance: **15**
Quorum was met at **79%** attendance

**FACE CPI Recommendation**

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by 66%, rounded to the nearest number.*

FACE: **17** ballots completed (15 in attendance + 2 via absentee)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intercollegiate Athletics</th>
<th>Campus Recreation</th>
<th>Student Recruitment &amp; Retention Ctr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>76.47%</td>
<td>Yes 82.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS:**
- COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the FACE fee in 2023-24 for Intercollegiate Athletics, Campus Recreation and Student Recruitment & Retention Center.

**LEEAP CPI Recommendation**

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Only the LSA (Law Students Association) Representative will vote on CPI adjustments to the remaining portion of the LEEAP fee: Law School Recruitment, Retention & Outreach.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law School Recruitment &amp; Retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS:** COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the LEEAP fee in 2023-24 which supports Law School Recruitment, Retention & Outreach

---

*Per COSAF Bylaws, Law school member does not vote on the Student Recruitment & Retention Center portion of FACE. Law school member does vote on Law School Recruitment & Retention, as part of LEEAP.*
Please provide your comments regarding the Campus Recreation presentation and use of FACE/ LEEAP fees.

YES Comments:
Campus Recreation provides so much for students by promoting mental and physical health. Without the current spending power that they have the University will undoubtedly face an increase of mental and physical health problems. All students of UC Davis should have access to facilities to promote their success in the future.

One of the biggest things that stands out to me about the ARC and its inclusive access to students is the fee waivers. As a result, I would like for their purchase power to remain the same in order to ensure equitable access to their many services for all students.

Deemed heavily used by the community. And important for several functions

I am part of Campus Rec and know how much it does to support students in finding belonging to mental health outlets. I do wonder what could be done to protect/ maintain the many machines at the Arc that break, and if there is a room that is dedicated to checking in on and repairing machines?

I do vote in the affirmative because I want to see Campus Recreation operating at current levels during next school year. They are a widely used and necessary part of campus. A recommendation to the ARC: I would like to see more machines with smartphone and especially smartwatch connectivity (like Apple Watches). As we progress into this technological age, I want to see the ARC and Campus Recreation not to fall behind.

Even though I do believe that this CPI adjustment is pretty big for Campus Recreation since they also had an increase in the previous years. According to the projects that are planned, it seems that a CPI adjustment is needed to offset these projects. I hope that the students in the future will see changes.

It's been amazing to see how Campus Rec is able to provide and contribute so much to a student’s UC Davis experience. Seeing how student-focused Campus Rec is has made me appreciate the work you’ve all been doing even more.

Campus Recreation does provide a lot of good services to students and there are many ways that the student body interacts with their programs. Based on the number of students that use the ARC alone, it will be helpful to ensure that these facilities are able to be open for the same amount of time it has been open in the past. However, with the increase in revenue from a CPI adjustment of the FACE fee, it would be great if Campus Recreation will be able to provide some kind of new programs/additional services or even expand current services for students to participate in.

Campus Recreation appears to be doing a bang up job and should be supported.

Campus Rec initiatives provide a physical and mental health outlet for students from all walks of life and should retain its purchasing power so it may continue to serve students broadly and effectively.
NO Comments:
I don't believe that Campus Rec needs additional adjustment since their facility seems to be working well and doesn't seem to need much adjustment.

For a program titled the "Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program", it is difficult to see why law students at a public-interest centered law school such as King Hall should be paying for services and facilities that is outside the breadth of enhancing their education within King Hall and ensuring access to connections, practitioners, judges, and real-world experiences that is served and funded by the recruitment and retention subset, but not all else.

Alternate Member Comments:
I believe that Campus Rec is very student-forward and cares about student's comments. Their facilities impact the lives of many students by promoting well-being and providing an outlet for students. This CPI adjustment would be especially beneficial in ensuring that Campus Rec is able to maintain the facilities and programs it has now.

FACE fees are properly allocated in accordance with maintenance and payroll fees for the campus recreation.

Please provide your comments regarding the Intercollegiate Athletics: Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium presentation and use of FACE/LEEEP fees.

YES Comments:
Intercollegiate Athletics requires the same purchasing power as they do today due to major delayed maintenance. The Schaal Aquatics Center is not up to standard due to major maintenance required. Athletics have not reflected the prestige of the University of California Davis, and should therefore be able to continue spending to help fix these issues. Cutting spending will only exacerbate these issues.

The center was deemed in heavy use and served the community. Safety related repairs were evident.

I primarily support this because I see the pool demands investment in order to maintain its integrity, keep student athletes safe, and to continue allowing athletes to play their sport.

I believe that athletics in the next few years should adopt a model that relies less on student fees generated from FACE/LEEEP fees. But, I did vote in the affirmative for a CPI adjustment because of record high inflation and the fact they did not receive an adjustment last year. It is necessary if we want athletics to maintain current operating levels.

I believe that a CPI adjustment is needed for the Schaal Aquatics Center. The conditions of the Schaal continue to worsen throughout the years, and I fear that the longer we wait, the more we will have to pay for it later. It is important to keep in mind that even though not all students use the facilities, it still needs to be repaired and well-maintained. The UC Davis Health Stadium is where the school also holds the big events; therefore, I believe that this will benefits all students.

I love how you've all been working towards maximizing the amount of student usage in these facilities! Seeing the busy stadium and aquatics schedule really shows that these facilities are being utilized by more than just ICA.
I was not present today but I have been at every meeting in the past quarter. Voting in support of Davis sport and health related departments is a good use of campus resources. Exercise is integral to education and sports unites communities. From what I have seen, I am glad UCD is taking steps in support of building new facilities for our teams.

After taking a tour of the facility, there seem to be a lot of damages done to the property that could endanger the students that use the facility.

If Schaal does not receive its CPI adjustment, conditions may become more unsafe regarding needed repairs and athletes very much deserve to have a safe aquatics center. The health stadium is an outlet for student athletes and nonathletes alike and likewise should be maintained to students may enjoy the facility to its full capacity.

NO Comments:

While the facilities are certainly impressive, there weren’t many highlighted ways students can utilize these facilities in exchange for their payment of this fee. The location of the Aquatics Center can be hard to find for first-time visitors, and it is mainly sports clubs that use the facilities outside of the collegiate teams. The general student body don’t benefit as a whole from these facilities, and in light of the price increase of necessities like groceries and gas, I find that increasing the fee students need to pay will not be helpful.

Intercollegiate Athletics requires the same purchasing power as they do today due to major delayed maintenance. The Schaal Aquatics Center is not up to standard due to major maintenance required. Athletics have not reflected the prestige of the University of California Davis, and should therefore be able to continue spending to help fix these issues. Cutting spending will only exacerbate these issues.

For a program titled the "Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program", it is difficult to see why law students at a public-interest centered law school such as King Hall should be paying for services and facilities that is outside the breadth of enhancing their education within King Hall and ensuring access to connections, practitioners, judges, and real-world experiences that is served and funded by the recruitment and retention subset, but not all else.

Alternate Member Comments:

Due to the larger CPI for this year, a CPI adjustment is necessary for Athletics in order to keep their facilities and teams operating as usual. Both facilities affect not only athletes, but also sports clubs, student employees, and events for the student body. Athletics can help boost morale and well-being for students. However, I think that if Athletics would like to receive CPI adjustments in the future, they should do more student outreach in order to be in the interest of the entire student body. The maintenance of both the Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health stadium are necessary as there are some pending projects that need to be done keeping safety in mind.
Please provide your comments regarding the Student Recruitment & Retention Center presentation and use of FACE/ LEEAP fees.

YES Comments:

It’s clear the SRRC does so much for student wellbeing and retention! They do great work for many students on campus and I believe they should continue doing so.

The services that the Student Recruitment & Retention Center provides to different student demographic on our campus are highly essential. I also want to see more marketing and advertisements about their services to hopefully expose more students in need. I feel like SRRC can be more visible to the students.

Hearing about the efforts put into supporting and assisting our student body has been amazing to hear about. I feel fortunate to be able to assist in ensuring these efforts can continue to take place and grow on campus!

They’re doing great work for their students! College can be stressful at times and it’s great that there are resources that they provide to help students through tough times.

Yes; because the center is run for and by the students - any changes that need to be made should be acknowledged and acted upon.

The Student Recruitment & Retention Center's work is vital for student life and inclusivity. Especially considering this entity is student-run and student-led, it should be allowed to retain purchasing power and thus its important work for educational equity

NO Comments:

The Student Recruitment and Retention Center does not require CPI adjustments, due to likelihood of decreased spending and overall benefit that it provides to students. A major source of the center’s spending is in regards to student jobs that are often unfilled or are not required due to demand. I do not find that the Student Recruitment & Retention Center needs the number of student employees that they currently do today. Additionally, this center has only helped a marginal number of students, although diverse, the center does not reach the goals for equitable diversity on Campus. It is unfair for students to pay significantly more to fund a program that does not help them or the University's prestige.

While shown to be useful to a large population of students, they were lacking in their explanation for their need for the additional funds and what they would apply those for. Additionally, they had the largest percentage-wise carry forward of funds from what was allocated. Thus, they do not seem to be as in need of funds from additional sources.

I believe the CPI is too high for this service. I think it would be possible for fundraisers, grants, and/or other means to cover the extra costs.

I am taking into account how many of UC Davis' population utilize the program compared to those that don’t.

Alternate Members Comments:

The Student Retention & Recruitment Center is essential, and it was shown from the experiences described by student comments. A CPI adjustment will help the SRRC continue to serve underrepresented students and improve the lives of many.

Student Recruitment & Retention Center provides resources to students, and I understand the need for the fees that are in place to cover student and staff salaries and information technology admin.