COSAF Meeting, November 18, 2016
Student Activities and Services Initiative (SASI) Presentations

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

CAMPUS RECREATION

- Personally, I would like to see more transparency in the funds CRU receives. I think there are still many missing pieces needed to make an informed decision.
- Yes - these programs reach so many students and address mental and physical well-being, which other aspects of university life does not.
- Great work and thank you for coming. Would you be able to go into details of each program?
- Yes - the allocations of funds for 2016-2017 is very concrete and the allotments are understandable.
- This is a key aspect of campus culture and really helps to foster a safe and inclusive community environment.
- Helpful, informative presentation. It was interesting to see which programs got what percentage of the fee. The inclusion of the expanded spreadsheet was helpful. I would have voted yes.
- Student use is high. Would like to see greater use of student resources.
- Similar to ICA, students are employed by Campus Rec and their wage must be maintained. The operational costs for the buildings/facilities need to be supported at the current cost of maintenance. (CPI included).
- No criticism, continue as is, doing things like maintaining Specific projects (Dairy Field returfing).
- General comment to all: I think we need a more in depth like-item discussion and analysis of student fees and uses as it relates to these departments.
- Janna did a great job of explaining student use and wide application of the services offered by CRU. If it was a vote I would say yes.
- Thank you for explaining the fund allocation.
- This unit makes me feel confident about how my student fees are being managed. If voting for a CPI adjustment were still happening, I’d gladly give it my vote. CRU reaches a lot of students and has very diverse programming that supports a wide array of student interest. Stress reduction, while not easily measurable, seems to be an intangible benefit of CRU. The budget Janna presented as well as contingency plans were concrete and reasonable, intended to make the least amount of splash.
CROSS-CULTURAL CENTER

- I think that a CPI increase would be just, but we would need to continue reviewing programs changes so that we can see if the CPI was necessary and just to continue adjusting.
- Yes- facility expenses, student salaries
- Thank you for your presentation, can you elaborate a little more about the efficiency of the operations. Are student fees being spent in an effective manner? Impacts and numbers would be helpful.
- Yes- the expenses are fairly consistent with previous years and the minor adjustments are acceptable.
- Vital to inclusion on this campus. If CPI is not increased, programs will be cut.
- Informative. It would have been helpful to know which new programs were being implemented. I would have voted no.
- Increase cross-cultural activities and programs to increase student participation.
- Cross cultural center is providing great services but I would like a better argument to continue these services.
- Please maintain and continue outlining efforts for increasing student awareness and retention of CCC services.
- Didn’t really explain their use of funds or why they need CPI. Template answers were vague.
- Based on this presentation, I would not have voted to adjust the SASI fee for CPI adjustment. The extra revenue generated by events such as dance showcases (and, presumably outside fundraisers) are unclear as to how they interact with other funding sources.
- The presentation wasn’t as informative as it could’ve been so I’m basing the following comments on less than perfect information. The plan if the unit didn’t receive CPI adjustment is murky- “cut program” is very vague and doesn’t instill confidence that CCC is thinking about or planning about the future.

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

- Intercollegiate athletics can lead to great long term benefits. I think that they have a great initiative they plan on implementing and my hope is that in the future we see beneficial returns, more donors, and increase funding via fundraising activities.
- Yes- facilities, increases in budget will allow us to grab more donors/ticket sales in future years
- Great presentation, but please provide metrics/basis used for your bar graphs. Are they based on real numbers or a comparable analysis or other metric?
• Yes- the SASI funding is necessary to continue the high performance of the Athletics Department and the increase allotments are understandable.
• This is an area of the school where we can really improve our brand. I think that it is important that we support this with the appropriate fee revenue. Set goals in place.
• Good presentation. Smart move to include plan for future of how to help the athletics department increase nation on exposure. I would have voted yes.
• Create more strategies to increase revenues to decrease budget burden.
• I would like to see less money going to athletics and I would like to see how they plan to leverage other resources.
• In regards to operational expenses, the need for better weight facilities appears to be under this allotment. Support for the student body needs to continue in regards to the wage afforded to student workers.
• Thank you for conveying messages of future themes and goals. Perhaps spend more time on specific uses of fees and general overview of revenues.
• The template did a good job of saying the importance of why the CPI is needed. Kevin did a good job of showing the larger picture of the budget which really helped contextualizing how the fee is used. If it was a vote I’d say yes.
• Though the strategy is made very clear as to how to improve athletics at UCD, the impact on students is still not as clear. The incentive for student athletes is clear-as-day, but how does this mobilize the student body and community at large to furthering athletics?
• The plan presented looked good. But I want to stress the fact that ICA needs to move to a different budge model- this program is far too large and has far too many needs to be effectively supported by students. Additionally I think a task force- in ASUCD, ICA, whoever- needs to be put together in order to come up with community building solutions. Winning teams need winning cultures!!

WOMEN'S RESEARCH & RESOURCE CENTER

• I think that now more than ever increased funds and support is needed for the WRRC. They have a great mission and help different communities and implement programs to better gender equity.
• Yes- student salaries, facility costs
• Thank you for all your hard work. Can you please provide info on how the school has been working with student-initiatives because it seems like a lot of student self-run initiatives have been effective (?)
• Yes- this is of similar concern as the Cross-Cultural Center, so the minor adjustments are acceptable.
• It was brought to our attention that this program is growing at a rate faster than they can handle. This obviously shows it possesses a benefit to our campus community.
• Information would have been more informative to know more specifics. I would have voted yes.
• Yes- this center is providing quality programming while leveraging its resources.
• Good overall; please provide timely update on status of WRRC move and effect of this on students/services.
• Did a good job of explaining program, uses, and services offered. Would vote for a CPI for this unit.
• Confidential resources such as this are crucial. Please increase your outreach so that the student body is better acquainted with your important services!
• It’s concerning that WRRC does not have a concrete plan on how it would deal if it didn’t get a CPI adjustment. I understand it’s in a leadership transition but this shouldn’t excuse them from not having a plan with our student fees.