COSAF Meeting, February 24, 2017
Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee (FACE)
Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program (LEEAP)
Voting Results and Summary of Comments

FACE/LEEAP CPI Vote

	Intercollegiate Athletics	Campus Recreation	Student Recruitment & Retention Ctr.
YES	7	9	8
NO	3	1	0
ABSTAIN	0	0	2

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

FACE/LEEAP - Intercollegiate Athletics

- Only would be used toward facility upkeep which is not increasing. This should not be used for these fees.
- The percentage of students benefiting from IA program is minuscule compared to the dollars spent on the program. The cost per capita is very high. UC Davis is not an athletics school it is academics. I believe the diversion of funds from other services FACE gives to is gross favoritism and not within Davis' strengths.
- The focus on student athlete outcomes as the main focus of the UC Davis athletics department is still unclear to me, and I think that more general student-centric use of these ostensibly "student-owned" facilities needs to be established before I feel comfortable having students increase the over $9,000,000 that we give every year. In terms of Schaal Aquatic, the presenter seemed very confident that donors would cover those costs.
- Due to keep up with the proposed construction projects of IA, it will be important to have fees consistent with the current inflation.
- I think we should still push and encourage intercollegiate athletics to fund more money for their program like they said they will continue doing because intercollegiate athletics does not benefit all students.
- I would like to see the campus explore other avenues for funding.
- Leadership is interested in expanding student-access (non-athlete) to ICA. They seem very eager to cultivate relationships with non-student athletes. (Also we can't go back from ICA since that would involve defaulting or not paying our commitments…)
- The presenter had a vision and specific breakdowns for what the adjustment will be used for. Getting our facilities on par with national average is necessary.
- I think it's important to give the CPI so that ICA can spend time and resources building the program.
- I feel there has been a proper discussion and effort to show the voting members of COSAF what the FACE fees are going towards in ICA: i.e. the aquatic center among other facilities. The

level of transparency and straightforwardness demonstrated by Athletic Director Blue is something I hope his colleagues in ICA and the rest of administration can champion.

FACE/LEEAP - Campus Recreation

- Majority of CPI increase would go toward student and staff salary due to minimum wage increase
- Benefits all UC Davis students. Physical and mental well-being is supported through CRU.
- Many students use these services, providing employment and countless other opportunities for all students on campus.
- They offer a variety of heavily used campus activities that impact all students in one way or another.
- It is important to support departments/programs that give back to the students. Many students are employed via campus recreation, which is why I support the CPI adjustment.
- While sorely needed I still would like to see development take this over.
- Very important services provided to students! Warrant CPI increase because they are using their budget wisely.
- Camp Rec is an invaluable resource for the health of students and an adjustment is justified for the explainable increased costs.
- Absolutely CRU services are an integral part of student life and CPI will help make sure they have the funding to not cut programs.
- I do not feel as if my vote on COSAF is meaningful – especially given VC de la Torre has pushed so hard for this specific fee adjustment. It makes no sense to me for VCSA to advise the advisory body for the VCSA

FACE/LEEAP - Student Recruitment and Retention Center

- The services offered needs the CPI increase to keep operating. Outreach should expand
- Retention is essential for Davis's success.
- These services need to be expanded because they do more than just fill niches. By providing unique and person-centric stent services, new opportunities for student activism and unity are forged.
- The SRRC is essential to maintaining inclusiveness of are campus' underrepresented students.
- I believe more funding should go to programs that encourage diversity and retain students from underrepresented backgrounds.
- I see clear connection of this money to the center
- Necessary but I would say better more detailed budget, more clear idea of how to maximize their scarce resources. It seems this unit is one budget cut away from not existing.
- What the SRRC does is essential to the campus and the well-being of the students. However, just vaguely referring to decreased programming is not the most helpful way for COSAF to understand the impact of no adjustment.
- I do not feel adequately informed to make a decision on this topic
- The SRRC is a valuable resource for underrepresented students and supporting it financially reflects on our campus values